1	Volume 1 Pages 1 - 150		
2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
3	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA BEFORE THE HONORABLE EDWARD M. CHEN, JUDGE		
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)		
4) Plaintiff,)		
5)		
6	vs.) NO. CR 11-0625 EMC		
0	BASSAM YACOUB SALMAN,)		
7) San Francisco, California		
8	Defendant.) Tuesday,) September 17, 2013		
9	EXCERPT OF PROCEEDINGS		
10	TESTIMONY OF MAHER FAYEZ KARA		
11	APPEARANCES:		
1.0	For Plaintiff: MELINDA L. HAAG		
12	United States Attorney 450 Golden Gate Ave.		
13	San Francisco, California 94102		
14	BY: ADAM A. REEVES KATHERINE BURKE DOWLING		
	Assistant United States Attorneys		
15			
16	For Defendant: SHIFMAN GROUP		
17	601 California Street Suite 1800		
	San Francisco, California 94108		
18	BY: GAIL R. SHIFMAN, ESQ. and		
19	MOEEL LAW OFFICE		
20	214 Duboce Avenue San Francisco, California 94108		
	BY: SHAFFY MOEEL, ESQ.		
21			
22	Also Present: SPECIAL AGENT JEFFREY CHISHOLM		
23			
	Reported by CONNIE KUHL, CSR 13173, RMR, CRR		
24	BELLE BALL, CSR 8785, CRR, RDR Official Reporters, U.S. District Court		
25	official Reporters, o.s. Pistrice Court		

1	TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 17, 2013 11:45 A.M.
2	EXCERPT OF PROCEEDINGS
3	MAHER FAYEZ KARA, PLAINTIFF'S WITNESS, SWORN
4	DEPUTY CLERK: State your full name, and spell your
5	last name.
6	THE WITNESS: My first name is Maher; Fayez; last
7	name is Kara, K-a-r-a.
8	THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Kara.
9	Miss Dowling, you may proceed.
10	DIRECT EXAMINATION
11	BY MS. DOWLING:
12	Q. Good morning.
13	A. Good morning.
14	MS. DOWLING: Your Honor, may I approach the witness
15	with a stack of exhibits to avoid the back and forth?
16	THE COURT: Yes.
17	MS. DOWLING: Thank you.
18	BY MS. DOWLING:
19	Q. Mr. Kara, I'm delivering to you a stack of exhibits that
20	we'll go through, and then I'll direct you to the right one
21	when they come up.
22	A. All right. Thank you.
23	Q. Thank you. I'd like to start by looking at Exhibit 85.
24	If you can find that in the stack that I've just brought to
25	you, Mr. Kara. If you could take a look at it and let us know

Case 3:11-cr-00625-EMC Document 233 Filed 09/22/13 Page 3 of 151 KARA - DIRECT EXAMINATION/DOWLING

- 1 if you recognize that.
- 2 A. Yes, I do.
- 3 Q. What do you recognize that document to be?
- 4 A. This is a copy of a plea agreement that I entered into
- 5 with the United States government.
- 6 \parallel Q. And if you could please turn to Page 9 of Exhibit 85.
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 \ Q. And is that your signature on that page?
- 9 A. Yes, it is.
- 10 | O. And what is the date of the plea agreement?
- 11 A. July 6, 2011.
- 12 Q. And are you familiar with the contents of the plea
- 13 agreement?
- 14 A. Yes, I am.
- 15 | Q. Mr. Kara, what crimes have you pled guilty to?
- 16 A. I've pled guilty to two crimes. The first is to
- 17 | securities fraud, and specifically with relation to insider
- 18 trading; and the second crime was conspiracy to commit
- 19 securities fraud.
- 20 Q. And how did you commit the crimes of conspiracy and
- 21 insider trading?
- 22 A. Starting with insider trading, I illegally tipped my
- 23 | brother, Mounir Michael Kara, with the intent that he benefit
- 24 from information about companies or stocks.
- 25 And then, with conspiracy to commit securities fraud, it

- was similarly that the information that I was giving my brother I knew would benefit him.
- Q. And have you been sentenced for those crimes?
- 4 A. No, Ma'am, I have not.
- 5 Q. And if you could please turn to Page 6.
- 6 A. (The witness complies)
- Q. And as part of your plea agreement, Mr. Kara, have you agreed to cooperate with the government?
- 9 A. Yes, I have.
- Q. And what do you mean by "cooperate"? What does that mean to you?
- A. To me, when I entered into the agreement, it was really to respond truthfully to questions about my conduct as well as any other questions that the government had regarding this
 - Q. If you could turn now to Page 8, and there's a section on Page 8 under a heading called "government's promises".
 - A. Yes.

matter.

15

16

17

- Q. What promises has the government made to you in the plea agreement?
- A. Really just what is written here. And specifically it
 was, the way I understood it, was about moving to dismiss any
 other open charges that were related to this case, agreeing
 not to add any additional charges than the two that I have
 pled guilty to, and to potentially recommend guidelines

- that -- or to recommend sentencing guidelines that could be different from what was expected.
- Q. And under that government's promises, can you take a look specifically at Paragraph 19 on that page and let me know what
- 5 your understanding of this particular promise was?
- A. Similar to the last point that I made, it -- that the government may use its sole and exclusive judgment to determine whether or not a departure from the sentencing
- 9 guidelines should be recommended, pending my cooperation.
 - Q. Okay. And outside of the promises that you listed there on the page, what promises has the government made to you?
- 12 A. Nothing.

- Q. And is it your hope that by cooperating with the
 government, the punishment you receive for the crime you've
 committed will be less than it otherwise would?
- 16 A. Yes, it is my hope.
- Q. Has anyone promised you that you will receive a particular sentence for the crimes that you have committed?
- 19 A. No.
- Q. You can put Exhibit 85 to the side, please.
- 21 A. (The witness complies)
- Q. Mr. Kara, where were you born?
- 23 A. Beirut, Lebanon.
- Q. At some point, did you immigrate to the United States?
- 25 A. Yes, in 1976, there was a civil war ongoing in Lebanon and

- my parents emigrated to the United States. We eventually
- 2 settled in the Bay Area.
- 3 Q. And do you live in the Bay Area now?
- 4 A. Yes, I do.
- 5 Q. And do you have any siblings?
- 6 A. I do. I have older brother, Mounir -- Michael -- Kara.
- 7 And I have a sister, Mya, and her married name is Pendleton.
 - Q. How much older is your brother, Michael, than you are?
- 9 A. He is about 10 years and a few months older than I am.
- 10 Q. And are you married, Mr. Kara?
- 11 A. Yes, I am.

- 12 Q. What is your wife's name?
- 13 A. Sawsan, S-a-w-s-a-n, or commonly known as Susie, Kara.
- 14 She's taken on our last name.
- 15 Q. What was her maiden name?
- 16 A. Salman. S-a-l-m-a-n.
- 17 | O. And does she have any siblings?
- 18 A. Yes, she does.
- 19 Q. What are their names?
- 20 A. She has three siblings, and her older sister, her name is
- 21 | Amao, A-m-a-o. She has an older brother, Ghazy, G-h-a-z-y.
- 22 And an older brother named Bassam.
- 23 0. And is Susie the sister of the defendant Bassam Salman?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Your wife's older brother, Bassam Salman, is he similarly

- 1 aged to your older brother, Michael?
- 2 A. I believe so.
- Q. When did you first meet Susie?
- 4 A. Susie and I met in right around 2002, February of 2002.
 - Q. How did you meet?
- 6 A. We met through a common family introduction. My parents
- 7 met a relative of hers, and we were introduced to one another
- 8 | that way.

- 9 Q. And when did you first meet Susie's brother, Bassam
- 10 | Salman?
- 11 A. I believe I took a trip out to Chicago to meet Susie
- 12 first, and then also the family, in or around April of 2002.
- 13 Q. At some point, did you become engaged to Susie?
- 14 A. We did. Thankfully, we got engaged in June of 2003.
- 15 Q. June of 2003?
- 16 A. Uh-huh.
- 17 | Q. And from the time of your engagement going forward, did
- 18 your family, the Karas and the Salman family, spend time
- 19 together?
- 20 A. Yes, we did.
- 21 Q. What are some examples of the time the family spent
- 22 together?
- 23 A. We're all from Middle Eastern cultures, so it's very
- 24 common that the families have to get to know one another, so
- 25 initially, my sister took a trip out to Chicago, shortly

Case 3:11-cr-00625-EMC Document 233 Filed 09/22/13 Page 8 of 151 KARA - DIRECT EXAMINATION/DOWLING

- 1 | after -- or along the same time that I took my first visit.
- 2 | Susie's family came out shortly thereafter to meet my family
- 3 in the San Francisco Bay Area. And we had several chances to
- 4 go out and visit them as well in Chicago.
- Q. You indicated that the families have to get to know one
- 6 another. Why is that?
- 7 A. I think when we think of marriages or unions, we think of
- 8 not only the spouse/spouse relationship, we think of it as a
- 9 family relationship.
- 10 0. So the families are expected to be close?
- 11 A. We hope -- yes, we hope, and we would like to expect that
- 12 they become close, yes.
- 13 Q. Did they become close?
- 14 A. I believe we did. I love Susie's family very much.
- 15 Q. And did you observe Bassam Salman and your brother Michael
- 16 interact during this time period of the family's getting to
- 17 know one another and becoming close?
- 18 A. Yes, they did.
- 19 \(\mathbb{Q} \). And did they appear to be friends?
- 20 A. Yes, I think they became friendly, yes.
- 21 Q. Did you observe them spending time together?
- 22 A. Sure, yes, I did.
- 23 Q. Did Bassam Salman attend your wedding?
- 24 A. Yes, he did.
- 25 Q. I'd like to show you now what's been marked as Exhibit 1,

Case 3:11-cr-00625-EMC Document 233 Filed 09/22/13 Page 9 of 151 KARA - DIRECT EXAMINATION/DOWLING

- 1 if you could please find that in the pile of exhibits.
- 2 A. Yes.
- Q. Does this chart fairly and accurately represent the
- 4 relations among some of your family members and friends of
- 5 your family members?
- 6 A. Sorry. Just give me a second.
- 7 Q. Take your time.
- 8 A. Yes, it looks pretty accurate. I don't know some of the
- 9 people by their names, but I do recognize some of the
- 10 relationships.
- 11 Q. So of the folks you recognize, the relationships are
- 12 | clear?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Thank you.
- 15 Your Honor, I'd like to move Exhibit 1 into evidence.
- 16 **THE COURT:** Any objection?
- 17 MS. SHIFMAN: No objection, your Honor.
- 18 **THE COURT:** Exhibit 1 is admitted.
- 19 (Trial Exhibit 1 received in evidence)
- 20 BY MS. DOWLING:
- 21 Q. Do you see yourself in this exhibit?
- 22 A. Yes, I do.
- 23 | Q. And what about your brother, Michael?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. And do you see Bassam Salman on this chart as well?

1 A. Yes, I do.

- 2 Q. And can you describe again Mr. Bassam Salman's
- 3 relationship to your family? How is he related to you?
- 4 A. He is my wife's brother, so my brother-in-law.
 - O. And is Susie located on this chart as well?
- 6 A. Yes, she is. It's written under Susie Salman.
- 7 | Q. If you see below Mr. Salman, there's an individual named
- 8 Karim Bayyouk. Prior to 2009, did you know Mr. Karim Bayyouk?
- 9 A. No, I did not.
- 10 0. And if you go up from there to the right of Bassam Salman,
- 11 | there's an individual named Joseph Azar. Can you explain to
- 12 the jury who Joseph Azar is?
- 13 A. He is a friend of my brother's.
- 14 Q. And did you know Mr. Azar?
- 15 A. I briefly met him maybe once or twice before I got married
- 16 to Susie. And then saw him one time after we got married.
- 17 Q. So approximately three times you saw him?
- 18 A. Three times probably, yes, Ma'am.
- 19 Q. What were the circumstances you saw Mr. Azar?
- 20 \parallel A. It was an introduction by my brother, family gatherings.
- 21 And also I believe Mr. Azar came to my father's funeral.
- 22 Q. And the third occasion you mentioned?
- 23 A. The third occasion, although I don't recall -- I don't
- 24 believe he came to our wedding -- he called -- he invited us,
- 25 | through my brother, invited my wife and I to come over to say

- 1 congratulations after we got married.
- 2 Q. So you went to his house?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. What about Nasser Mardini? He's to the right of Mr. Azar.
- 5 Do you know who Nasser Mardini is?
- 6 A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Can you identify for the jury who Nasser Mardini is
- 8 please?
- 9 A. Yes, he is the husband and brother-in-law of May Hito
- 10 | Safwan Hito. They are two very long time close friends of the
- 11 | family. My parents and their parents knew each other all the
- way from the 1960's, and remained very close and dear friends
- of our family, to now.
- 14 Q. You see below Mr. Mardini there are two individuals, an
- 15 | Andre Coudsi and another individual by the name of Mazen
- 16 Mardini. Do you know who those individuals are?
- 17 A. No, I don't.
- 18 Q. Let's move back up to the middle line over to Mr. Zahi
- 19 Haddad. Do you know who Mr. Zahi Haddad is?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 | O. Who is that?
- 22 $\|$ A. He is my mother's brother, so he's my uncle.
- 23 0. And Mr. Emile Jilwan?
- 24 A. He is also a friend of my brother's.
- 25 Q. What about all the way down on the bottom, there's an

- individual with the name Nabil Bahu with a photo. Do you know
- 2 who that individual is?
- 3 | A. Yes, I do.
- 4 Q. Who is that?
- 5 A. He is the -- Sam Salman's brother-in-law.
- 6 Q. Then last question on this chart: If you see there are
- 7 | three down the left side, Roula, Rana and Rania Atiyeh. Do
- 8 you know who those individuals are?
- 9 A. I know Miss Roula and Miss Rania. I've met both of them.
- 10 I don't really know Rana.
- 11 Q. And how do you know those individuals?
- 12 A. Roula is married to Mr. Bassam Salman and is my
- 13 sister-in-law. And Rania is her sister, and is Nabil's wife
- 14 and somebody that I have met.
- 15 \parallel Q. Thank you. You can set that exhibit to the side.
- 16 You said that you grew up in the Bay Area; is that
- 17 | correct?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Where did you go to high school?
- 20 A. I went to high school in the Sunset District here at
- 21 St. Ignatius College Preparatory.
- 22 | Q. And where were you go to college?
- 23 A. I want to college at UC-Berkeley.
- Q. And what year were you graduated from UC-Berkeley?
- 25 A. I graduated in 1993.

- Q. And did you start work after graduating from UC-Berkeley?
- 2 A. No, I didn't.

- 3 Q. What did you do?
- 4 A. My brother fell ill during that time as a result of
- 5 complications related to a surgery that he had had around that
- 6 April or May of 1993 timeframe. So I stayed at home and
- 7 helped my family to take care of my brother. He was in
- 8 intensive care for several months. And along that time, I
- 9 also took all of the -- all the parts of the CPA exam and got
- 10 my CPA during that time.
- 11 Q. That was productive.
- 12 A. Yeah.
- 13 Q. So your brother also lives in the Bay Area?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And after you spent approximately six months, I think you
- 16 said, helping your brother recuperate, what did you do next?
- 17 A. I moved to New York and I accepted a position with a
- 18 company that I had interned with, and that was Coopers &
- 19 | Lybrand, and I was working in their Manhattan office in the
- 20 tax consultant group.
- 21 | Q. So you decided to leave the Bay Area and go to New York?
- 22 A. I did.
- Q. Why is that?
- 24 A. I think -- for several reasons. The primary reason was
- 25 really to have a progression in my life. I had lived at home

- 1 all the way through college and had never gotten a chance to
- 2 really feel independent. So I wanted the opportunity to do
- 3 | that. At the same time, I had dealt with quite a few issues
- 4 surrounding the stress that my brother had put on our family.
- 5 And I wanted to try and break free, away, if you will, and
- 6 live my life independently without a lot of the stress that
- 7 was coming along with it.
- 8 Q. You mentioned the stress involved with your brother.
- 9 Prior to your move to New York to work with Coopers & Lybrand,
- 10 did you ever observe your brother, Michael, abuse alcohol or
- 11 drugs?
- 12 A. No.
- Q. And after working at the tax consultant group at Coopers &
- 14 Lybrand in New York, what did you do next?
- 15 \parallel A. I decided during the middle of 1995 to apply for business
- 16 school. And -- I wanted to get my masters in business
- 17 | administration so I applied to several schools and selected to
- 18 go to the University of Chicago.
- 19 Q. And what were -- what year were you graduated from the
- 20 University of Chicago?
- 21 A. It was a two-year program, so I graduated in 1998.
- 22 | Q. And did you work after graduating from the University of
- 23 Chicago?
- 24 A. I did.
- Q. What did you do?

- A. I took a full-time position in New York with who was known as Solomon Smith Barney back then, and it was a division of
- 3 Travelers Group. The company then merged with Citigroup in
- 4 | 1999, and it became known as Citi.
- 5 Q. Then you started working for Citi as part of that merger?
- 6 A. Yes.

- Q. How long did you work at Citigroup?
- 8 A. Approximately from 1998 through 2007, so about nine years.
- 9 Q. So when you first started working for Citigroup, where 10 were you located at that point?
- 11 A. I was located in New York, and the first year was what was
- 12 known as a generalist year -- they allowed to you rotate into
- 13 three different investment banking groups, four months each.
- So I got a chance to do rotations, in San Francisco as well as
- 15 in London.
- And then, when I got back, I was offered full-time
- 17 positions in various groups and selected to go to the
- 18 San Francisco Technology Group.
- 19 Q. Approximately what year did you begin in the San Francisco
- 20 Technology Group?
- 21 | A. In 1999.
- 22 Q. And how long did you remain in the Technology Group in
- 23 San Francisco?
- 24 A. I worked in that group -- they eventually to Palo Alto.
- 25 But through the Internet bubble, which I believe was in or

- 1 around the middle of 2002.
- Q. So what happened in 2002? Did you switch to another
- 3 group?
- 4 A. I did. I was asked if I'd join the Healthcare Group
- 5 within Citigroup at that time and specifically focus on
- 6 | biotechnology and pharmaceutical companies.
- Q. And where were you located at that point when you began in
- 8 the Healthcare Group at Citi?
- 9 A. Initially, I was located again in Palo Alto. Then we
- 10 moved to San Francisco. And then finally, was at the end
- of '03, was asked to move to New York.
- 12 Q. Okay. So by 2003, you were located in New York, the
- 13 | Healthcare Group at Citi; is that correct?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And do you recall your home number in New York during that
- 16 | time period?
- 17 A. I do. It's 646-414-1525.
- 18 Q. And what was your title at that point in 2003 in the
- 19 Healthcare Group?
- 20 A. I was vice-president of healthcare investment banking.
- Q. And over time, did that title change? Did you move to the
- 22 next level?
- 23 A. Yes, I did.
- Q. And what is that next level?
- 25 A. The next promotion I received was to director of

- 1 investment banking.
- Q. So during the period approximately 1995 to 2004, did you
- 3 ever observe your brother, Michael Kara, abuse alcohol or
- 4 drugs?
- 5 A. Yes, I did.
- 6 Q. Tell me about that. What did you observe?
- 7 A. It was around the time of 1999 or 2000. And I was living
- 8 in corporate housing over at 1000 Chestnut here in Russian
- 9 | Hill. I received a phone call from my doorman indicating to
- 10 | me that someone who didn't look well said he was my brother,
- 11 Michael Kara, and that he was asking to be let into my
- 12 apartment.
- 13 Q. And what did you do, Mr. Kara?
- 14 A. I left my office, which was on California Street at that
- 15 time, and I drove home. Drove home.
- 16 Q. And what did you do next?
- 17 A. I saw my brother in my apartment, and he didn't look very
- 18 well. So I took care of my brother.
- 19 Q. And what happened after that?
- 20 A. After I -- the next morning, when I felt he was okay, he
- 21 promised that he was going to take care of himself, and I
- 22 | called his wife and called my dad to let them know that he was
- 23 | safe. And he told me he would take care of himself.
- Q. While you were a vice-president in healthcare investment
- 25 banking and then a director, what were your duties and

responsibilities at the Citigroup?

A. I really -- I categorize my duties as an officer, which was part of a vice-president, into two categories. The first one was execution of transactions for corporate clients. And by transactions, I mean financings for companies. Whether they issue stock or issue bonds, we were the underwriters for those offerings.

And I was also then, as part of my responsibilities, asked to call on the companies that were assigned to me and start to begin to develop relationships with the CEOs and the CFOs and senior members of the team.

- Q. And when you say "call on", what do you mean by that term?
- A. I think it's analogous to like a stockbroker. They're given clients or assigned client names, and you begin to develop relationships with them in the hopes that you can garner mandates or engagements in order to execute transactions for them. So that Citigroup can garner fees from
- Q. And what do you mean by a mandate?

those transactions.

- A. A mandate is when a corporate client actually assigns

 Citigroup or assigned a specific team within Citigroup to work

 on its behalf, either in the form of an underwriting or a

 merger or acquisition type of situation.
 - Q. So Mr. Kara, what were some of the companies that you called on during at that time period?

- A. I, as I had mentioned, pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies. Some of my pharmaceutical clients were Merck, Schering-Plough; and biotechnology companies in the Bay Area, they were Genentech, Gilead Sciences. Endo Pharmaceuticals -- not here in the Bay Area, but other ones -- as well as Protein Design Labs and Pain Therapeutics.
 - Q. And how would you generally generate fees with these companies?
 - A. Again, you would work towards solidifying a relationship with the company. And when a -- you would, what we call, pitch ideas or market ideas to the company, about raising money, about buying other companies. And the companies, they're all dynamic and involved, so at certain times their board members and senior decision-makers decide to conduct a transaction, and they engage the help of Citigroup.
 - Q. And how would you define confidential nonpublic information?
 - A. It's information that's generally not known to the large investing public, and it's information that, if disseminated to the large public, could have a significant impact on the stock price of a corporation.
 - Q. And would you come across nonpublic confidential information in the course of your job at Citigroup?
- A. Yes, I would.

Q. And did Citigroup provide you training on how to handle

- confidential nonpublic information that you received from clients?
 - A. Yes, they did.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

25

- Q. Can you describe generally some of the types of training that you received at Citigroup?
 - A. The training was immediate, and -- if not even before we had an opportunity to take our seats and begin working on behalf of clients. So as a generalist, they conducted a large, six-week training program, and at least three or four days during one week, we went through compliance issues and expectations of employee conduct and how to treat confidential information.

And then every year thereafter, we would have very regular training and reminders about how to conduct yourself and how to hold confidential information closely.

- Q. I'd like to show you now what's been marked as Exhibit 21, if you could, please, locate it in the exhibits that I've given you. And take a moment to look at it and let me know if you recognize that document.
- A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Mr. Kara, what is Exhibit 21?
- A. This is looks like the employee -- what we call -
 commonly called an employee handbook or code of conduct for

 Citigroup employees.
 - MS. DOWLING: Your Honor, I'd like to move Exhibit 21

```
into evidence.
1
 2
                             Objection, your Honor, hearsay.
                MS. SHIFMAN:
                THE COURT: Objection overruled.
 3
 4
                MS. SHIFMAN: I'm sorry, I withdraw the objection.
 5
       Excuse me.
                THE COURT: Okay. It is admitted.
 6
7
           (Trial Exhibit 21 received in evidence)
 8
                MS. SHIFMAN: Thank you.
9
                MS. DOWLING: Thank you, your Honor.
10
       BY MS. DOWLING:
11
          Mr. Kara, if you could please turn to Page 2 of
12
       Exhibit 21.
13
       Α.
          Yes, Ma'am.
14
          At the top, you see that there's a date.
15
           Maybe if you could highlight or blow up that portion so
16
       the jury can see it.
17
           What is the date at the top of this page?
18
           It's May 2006.
       Α.
19
           And were you an employee of Citigroup in May of 2006?
       Ο.
20
       Α.
           Yes, I was.
21
           So were you subject to this code of conduct?
       Q.
22
       Α.
           Yes, I was.
23
           If you could turn to Page 17, please.
       Ο.
24
       Α.
           Yes.
25
           And maybe, Maryam, if we could blow up the heading,
       Q.
```

Insider Trading Area?

Was this part of the policy at Citigroup?

- A. Yes, it was, Ma'am.
- Q. And if you could please read that first paragraph.
- A. Sure.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

"Citigroup policy and the laws of many countries prohibit trading in the securities (including equity securities, convertible securities, options, bonds, and any stock index containing the security) of any company while in possession of material, nonpublic information (also known as 'inside information') regarding the company. This prohibition applies to Citigroup securities as well as to the securities of other companies. It applies to transactions for any Citigroup account, client account or personal account. A personal account is any account in which you have a financial or beneficial interest, or the power to affect or ability to influence trading or investment decisions, either directly or indirectly. Personal accounts typically include accounts of spouses, domestic partners, children and other members of your household, and accounts over which you have investment discretion."

- Q. Mr. Kara, in your own words, what did this mean to you?
- 23 A. Almost what it says, Ma'am, if I could just paraphrase it.
- 24 It is that we are prohibited, that Citigroup employees were
- 25 prohibited from using information that they obtained as part

- of their work and that was confidential and material, to transmit that information or disseminate it to people outside of Citigroup.
 - Q. Thank you.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

- And, Maryam, if we could go back to the full page, I want to focus.
- Mr. Kara, if you could focus your attention now on the first full paragraph under investments and outside activities on the right side of the page. Do you see that?
- A. Yes, I do.
 - Q. If you could just read that first paragraph, Mr. Kara?
- 12 A. Sure.
 - "It is also illegal in many countries to 'tip' or pass on inside information to any other person if you know or reasonably suspect that the person receiving such information from you will misuse such information by trading in securities or passing such information on further, even if you do not receive any monetary benefit from the tippee."
 - Q. Did you understand this policy to apply to you?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. Can you give the jury some example of what, in your own mind, this policy would prohibit you from doing?
- A. It would prohibit me from doing a crime I'm guilty of:
- 24 Transmitting information to a person outside of Citigroup for
- 25 their benefit.

- Q. If you could set that exhibit aside, please. We'll look now at Exhibit 22.
 - Mr. Kara, just take a moment to look at Exhibit 22 once you've found it. And when you're ready, let me know if you recognize that document.
 - A. Yes, I do recognize it.
 - Q. And what is that document, Mr. Kara?
- A. This is a copy of a presentation for a meeting which I

 g actually remember attending about our annual compliance

 requirements for employees at Citigroup.
 - MS. DOWLING: Your Honor, I'd like to move Exhibit 22 into evidence.
 - MS. SHIFMAN: No objection, your Honor.
- 14 THE COURT: 22 is admitted.
- 15 | (Trial Exhibit 22 received in evidence)
- 16 BY MS. DOWLING:
- Q. Mr. Kara, if you could please turn to Page 12 of this exhibit.
- 19 A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

11

12

13

21

- 20 | Q. And what is this?
- focused on informing employees about insider trading and our

This was a section of the presentation specifically

- duties as employees with respect to receiving confidential
- 24 information.
- 25 | Q. Was this part of the training that you would have received

around 2006?

1

- A. Yes, it was.
- 3 Q. If you could please flip to the next page, Page 13.
- 4 A. (The witness complies)
- Q. The heading on this page is: "What is Material, nonpublic
- 6 Information." And it then lists some examples. Was this part
- 7 of the training that you received?
- 8 A. Yes, it was.
- 9 Q. And what are some of the examples of material, nonpublic
- 10 information that you received?
- 11 A. Including some of the ones that are listed here, we would
- 12 have an opportunity to learn about earnings or upcoming
- 13 significant announcements for companies ahead of when they
- were published to the general public. That's material,
- 15 nonpublic information.
- 16 As part of the mandates that I described earlier, we would
- 17 know if a company was planning to either acquire another
- 18 company or had gotten approached to be acquired by another
- 19 company. That's material nonpublic information.
- 20 We also got an opportunity to learn if a company was going
- 21 to issue securities in relation to fund raisings. That's
- 22 material, nonpublic information.
- 23 Those are some of the examples, Ma'am.
- Q. Thank you. And if you could turn to Page 17, please.
- 25 A. (The witness complies)

- Q. The top of this slide says, "What Are Employee Obligations
 Regarding Confidential Information?" And do you see under the
 second bullet where it says, "Employees may not personally
- 4 profit from confidential information or MNPI"?
- 5 A. Yes, I do.

8

9

10

11

14

17

18

19

- Q. What is MNPI?
- 7 A. Material nonpublic information.
 - Q. And the next bullet says that, "Employees may not use confidential information or MNPI to trade securities for their own (or related) accounts or to advise relatives, friends or others with respect to trading."
- 12 Do you see that?
- 13 A. Yes, I do.
 - Q. What did this policy mean to you, Mr. Kara?
- 15 A. It meant that I should safeguard the information that I learned in my job and not transmit it to people that didn't
 - Q. Did you understand this to prohibit you from disclosing that type of information to people outside of Citigroup?
- 20 A. Yes, I did understand that.

need to know about it.

- 21 Q. You can set that exhibit aside, please.
- 22 We'll go now to --
- THE COURT: We're coming up on our lunch break

 period. I don't know if there's a convenient break point.
- 25 MS. DOWLING: Whenever you like, Judge. We can do

1 that now. 2 THE COURT: All right. We'll take that now and return in one hour at 1:15. 3 4 And I'm going to remind you, please, do not discuss this case with anyone; do not conduct any research; please keep an 5 open mind until this case is submitted to you for a decision. 6 7 We'll see you at 1:15. 8 MS. DOWLING: Thank you, your Honor. **DEPUTY CLERK:** All rise for the jury. 9 10 (The jury exits the courtroom) 11 THE COURT: Okay. See you back in an hour. 12 (Recess from 12:19 to 1:18 p.m.) 13 (The following proceedings were held in the presence of 14 the Jury) 15 THE COURT: Okay. Welcome back, ladies and gentlemen. We will continue with the direct examination of 16 17 Mr. Kara. 18 MS. DOWLING: Thank you, Your Honor. 19 THE COURT: Ms. Dowling, you may proceed. 20 MS. DOWLING: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you. 21 DIRECT EXAMINATION, RESUMED 22 BY MS. DOWLING: 23 Hello, Mr. Kara, again. I would like to direct your attention to Exhibit 24, if you can find that, please. 24 Yes, ma'am. 25 Α

1 Q And, do you recognize that document? 2 Α Yes, I do. And what is that document? 3 0 This is a memorandum that was issued by our Global Control 4 Α 5 Group, which is our legal group, regarding the treatment of confidential and material non-public information. 6 7 MS. DOWLING: Your Honor, I would like to move Exhibit 24 into evidence. 8 9 MS. SHIFMAN: No objection, Your Honor. 10 THE COURT: 24 is admitted. (Trial Exhibit 24 received in evidence) 11 12 BY MS. DOWLING: 13 If you could look at the first page of that document, and 14 we'll let Maryam pull that up on the screen there. Do you see the box in the middle, marked "Highlights"? 15 (Document displayed) 16 17 Α Yes, I do. 18 Could you please read the first bullet point? We're going 0 19 to go through the first three bullet points. 20 Sure (As read): Α 21 "Confidential information is generally non-public information 22 that belongs to the firm or the firm's clients that you are 23 required to safeguard from improper disclosure or use." 24 The second bullet, please. 0 25 Α (As read)

"You should not disclose confidential information without 1 2 authorization to anyone outside the firm, or to anyone within 3 the firm who does not have a valid need to know it." 4 And then the third bullet point, please? 0 5 Α (As read) "If confidential information is also material non-public 6 7 information regarding an issuer or any issue of securities, its improper dissemination or use can result in violations of 8 9 securities laws, insider trading and other laws, subjecting 10 you to criminal and other penalties." 11 And did you understand the Citigroup policies, Mr. Kara? 12 Α Yes, I did. 13 And if you could turn to Page 7 of this exhibit, and we're 0 14 going to look at the top of the page under the header of "Examples of Material Information." 15 16 Α Yes. 17 And the first bullet says: 18 "Merger and acquisition activity or restructurings." 19 And earlier, you had testified that you worked on merger 20 and acquisition activity, correct? 21 Α Yes. 22 Is that likely to be material information? Q 23 Α Yes, it is. 24 Why is that? 0

25

Α

Just to put it in simple terms, if a company is interested

in buying another company, typically they will purchase that 1 2 company for a substantial premium over its certain share 3 If that information is disseminated to the general 4 public, it will likely cause a significant appreciation in the 5 stock of the company, of the target company. 6 Okay. And now I'm going direct your attention to the 7 middle portion of the page, under "Prohibition on Trading on or Tipping Material, Non-public Information." 8 9 (Document displayed) 10 MS. DOWLING: Thanks, Maryam. And if you could 11 highlight, please, the third bullet. 12 (Document highlighted) BY MS. DOWLING: 13 14 And if you could read that, Mr. Kara, please? Q 15 Α (As read) "If you trade on or tip material, non-public information as an 16 17 employee working in the securities industry, you can expect to be held to a high standard in determining whether you knew or 18 should have known that the source of the information was a 19 20 corporate insider or some other person acting improperly (i.e. 21 acting in breach of a fiduciary, statutory, or other legal 22 duty)." 23 And Mr. Kara, what is your understanding of what "tipping" 24 means? Tipping is providing material, confidential, non-public

25

Α

1	information to someone for their benefit.		
2	Q	Okay. And you can set that exhibit aside, please, and we	
3	are	going to turn now to the next exhibit, Exhibit 25.	
4		Take a moment and got that exhibit out, and let us know	
5	when you have looked at it, please.		
6	A	Yes.	
7	Q	And, do you recognize this document, Mr. Kara?	
8	A	I do.	
9	Q	And, could you identify what this document is, please?	
10	A	This, again, is a memorandum to all CIB employees. That's	
11	corporate and investment banking employees. And again, it's		
12	from our legal team.		
13		And it's a reminder about our obligations to safeguard and	
14	protect material non-public information.		
15		MS. DOWLING: Your Honor, I move to admit Exhibit 25.	
16		MS. SHIFMAN: No objection, Your Honor.	
17		THE COURT: 25 is admitted.	
18		(Trial Exhibit 25 received in evidence)	
19		(Document displayed)	
20	BY MS. DOWLING:		
21	Q	Mr. Kara, we're just going to look at one paragraph on	
22	this page. If you could look at the third paragraph.		
23		(Document displayed)	
24	Q	If you could read that, please?	
25	A	(As read)	

1 "You are reminded that you may not misuse confidential or 2 material, non-public information regarding an issuer's securities. Trading on material, non-public information, or 3 4 conveying such information to anyone improperly..." Otherwise known as "tipping..." 5 "...violates firm policy and may constitute a violation of 6 7 federal or other insider trading laws, European regulations on market abuse, or other applicable laws." 8 9 Thank you, Mr. Kara. And you can set that exhibit to the Q 10 side, please. 11 Mr. Kara, while employed at Citigroup, did there ever come 12 a time when you tipped, when you shared material non-public 13 information with anyone outside of Citigroup? 14 Yes, there was. Α And what did you do? 15 0 Um, I began sharing material non-public information with 16 Α 17 my brother, Mounir Michael Kara. 18 And why did you do that? Q It happened, ma'am, over a series of years. And, I would 19 20 call it sort of an evolution of the way that I was 21 transferring information to my brother. 22 When I entered into the healthcare investment banking 23 group in 2002, I didn't have any healthcare experience. And 24 specifically, I knew nothing about science, and knew nothing

about the general healthcare industry. My brother had a

scientific background, and -- an undergraduate degree in chemistry and a toxicology background that I thought would be useful in helping me learn about the drugs and about the company that I was now going to be responsible for.

At that time, I was a vice-president. And as I had mentioned, I was an officer of the corporation. And a lot of the meetings that I would be asked to begin really involved CEOs and CFOs of large public corporations. And I didn't want to come across as somebody that didn't have any knowledge.

So, I began sharing with my brother information about the companies, information -- and asking him questions about the drugs, how they worked, how the science behind it worked.

And, at that time, gave him clear instructions that information that I was sharing with him was confidential, and that I was really just trying to gain an industry knowledge through his help.

What I would call Phase Two of that would be that those conversations, unfortunately, became much more relevant in our life because my father was diagnosed with cancer in late 2003, early 2004. And, in fact, he was diagnosed with two different types of cancers. Non-Hodgkins lymphoma, and then glioblastoma multiforme, which is a terminal form of brain cancer.

My discussions with my brother then began to gravitate much more towards companies that were focused in the oncology

and pain-management space. And I was looking for -- we were looking, my brother and I, for companies that had different treatment options that could potentially benefit my dad.

As it turned out, a lot of the companies that I was working with and was a lead coverage officer for had drugs that were going to be treated -- that could help my dad. And I, in fact, contacted some of those companies to see if we could use compassionate use for some of the medications for my dad, because we were trying to save his life.

Q Okay.

A And, and last, the third evolution is after all of that, my brother, once -- after my father died, my brother's discussions began to become much more targeted. And began to be much more focused around asking me about -- specifically about companies and more towards a business end of it.

And I confronted him, and asked him point-blank, "Are you trading in these companies?" And he flat-out denied it, and he swore on his daughter's life that he wasn't trading in it.

It then -- but he -- he would go away for a while and not ask me questions, and then he would come back and he would ask me questions again. I tried to deflect it. It got to the point where I told my wife no longer to take his calls at home. Tried to avoid his phone calls, wouldn't reply to his e-mails, because I just didn't want to talk to him.

It got to the point where it was so persistent and so

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Α

nagging that to get him off my back, I finally caved in. And that was the third what I would call phase of the type of information that I was sharing with my brother, where I knowingly tipped him, to get him off my back, and to benefit him. And, you wanted to help your brother, sharing that information? Yes, that definitely was -- I knew that by tipping him, that I was helping him. And in helping him, you were helping yourself. Right? Q Α I --MS. SHIFMAN: Objection; leading. THE COURT: Overruled. THE WITNESS: I -- I just didn't know -- I repeatedly asked him to please just leave me alone, and that what we were doing, that -- I became very uncomfortable with it. And, he wouldn't stop. And, yes. The way that I thought I was helping myself was just by getting him off my back, and fulfilling whatever needs he had. You know, and I expected that he was likely trading on it. BY MS. DOWLING: And some of the information that you and your brother exchanged, did that help you in a business development capacity, with regard to the companies you were calling on?

Initially it did. His information was incredibly helpful.

And I -- I was kidding myself in thinking that what I was doing was okay, because I assumed, oh, I'm likely benefiting Citigroup because now I'm becoming this much more intelligent officer, and I can have these fruitful scientific discussions with the officers of these public corporations.

And I was completely naive and gullible to assume, first and foremost, that that was okay. But, second, to continue to do it, after, you know, after the passing of my dad and at the point where I noticed that my brother's questions were becoming much more targeted.

- **Q** And in that Phase Three, as you labeled it, in that time period, you knew that your brother was trading on these companies. The stocks.
- A He never disclosed to me that he was trading, but I fully expected that he was trading it, because the types of questions that he asked indicated to me that it was -- it was the behavior of somebody that was trading in security -- the questions were indicative of someone that was trading in securities. I didn't want to ask him, you know. When I did ask him, he denied it.

But at the point where I decided to give him information with the intent to help him trade I didn't want to ask him again, "Are you trading," because I knew what the answer was going to be, and that was going to be "Yes."

Q What were some of the biotech companies and drugs that you

discussed with your brother, in order to learn more about the biotechnology area?

A Initially my main focus was really in the -- and it graduated with my dad or it progressed with my dad's illness.

I focused on oncology companies, and focused on pain-management companies, and large pharmaceutical companies.

So, around the time of my dad, we looked at a company called American Pharmaceutical Partners, or APPX. And they had a lead drug called Abraxane, which helped reduce the cytotoxicity or the toxic effects of chemical therapeutic drugs to patients, so that patients could get more drug without having all of the residual harm that comes with traditional chemotherapy.

I discussed Genentech with my brother, because my father was on one of their lead drugs called Rituxan for non-Hodgkins lymphoma. That was part of his therapy. I also discussed Schering Plough's drug called Temodar, which was very novel and still in discovery, in the discovery stage. And it was the first-of-its-kind oral treatment for patients with brain cancer, and was enabling patients to have several months of extended survival who are terminally ill.

We also discussed Endo Pharmaceuticals, which was a client of mine. And they had several medications that my family eventually used, including Lidoderm, which was a patch for low back pain. And they also had end-of-life pain, for my dad

when he was terminally ill.

Q So some of the companies that you discussed with your brother, they either were or became Citigroup clients? Is that correct?

A Yes. Many of them -- I would say almost all of the companies that I discussed with my brother at -- didn't necessary -- weren't necessarily companies that we earned fees from, but they were almost all companies that we spoke to.

And had an active dialogue with.

And, we more than likely received material non-public information from those companies.

Q And why were you comfortable sharing non-public material information with your brother?

I guess that kind of dovetails with the Phase Two time period you were talking about earlier.

A Yeah. Again, I apologize for being what I would call incredibly naive, relaxed, unguarded. But I just never could imagine that my brother would take it upon himself to trade in the companies that I was covering and had -- you know, we share the same last name, he knew how important the job was to me, how much I cared about it.

And, when I suspected it, and asked him, I warned him initially, and then repeatedly told him that the information was confidential. And when I asked him, "Are you trading?" he swore to me that he wasn't.

- Q Mr. Kara, you spoke of your father's illness. Did he pass away at some point?
- 3 A He did.

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

- 4 **Q** And did your family do anything to commemorate your 5 father's life?
 - A Yes. Shortly within what we call a 40-day mourning period, we had a memorial service for my father after his funeral, which was on November 17 of 2004.
 - **Q** And do you recall Mr. Bassam Salman attending any of these events?
- 11 **A** I believe Mr. Salman attended my father's memorial service.
 - **Q** And did Mr. Salman visit with your father before his passing?
 - A Yes. They loved my dad, and my father loved them very much. And yes, I think he came to -- when my dad was in very critical condition, came out to see if he was okay.
- 18 Q Mr. Bassam Salman visited your father when he was ill?
- 19 A Yes, yes.
- 20 **Q** And at this point before your father passed away -- in 21 2004, was it?
- 22 **A** Yes.
- Q At this point, you had known Susie Salman for a couple of years at that point. You said you met in 2002, correct?
- 25 **A** Yes.

So by the 2004 time period when Mr. Salman is visiting 1 Q 2 your father who's ill, did the families know each other quite 3 well at that point? MS. SHIFMAN: Objection; calls for speculation. 4 THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer, if you know. 5 THE WITNESS: And I'm sorry, ma'am. Because of the 6 7 objection, I lost the question. Can you repeat the question 8 for me? 9 BY MS. DOWLING: 10 Yes, I can. So, in 2002, you and Susie Salman met, 11 correct? 12 Α Yes, we did. 13 And you and Susie Salman actually met at the -- the 14 initial meeting was at Mr. Salman, Mr. Bassam Salman's house. 15 Correct? 16 Yes. Α 17 So you met them at the same time. 0 18 Α Yes. So by 2004, moving forward in time, your families, the 19 20 Kara family and the Salman family, they have gotten to know 21 each other very well. Correct? 22 There were numerous opportunities. And in fact, as Α Yes. 23 I mentioned, we got engaged in 2003. And we had to postpone 24 our wedding three times because of my father's illness. 25 And, our original wedding date was August of 2004. And,

at that point, my dad was in a wheelchair, and couldn't walk. 1 2 So we postponed the wedding. And then, it's customary to wait an extra year, so we didn't get married until July of 2005. 3 4 And, did Mr. Salman know what you did for a living? Q MS. SHIFMAN: Objection; calls -- this witness can't 5 possibly know what's in the mind of somebody else. 6 7 THE COURT: Overruled. You can answer, if you know. THE WITNESS: I don't have a specific recollection of 8 9 talking to Mr. Salman about being an investment banker. I do 10 know my brother announced that to everybody, and it was 11 actually my surprise, at my wedding. 12 But, I had told Mr. Salman that I worked generally in the 13 finance industry. For Citigroup. And that, you know, my 14 responsibilities were really to help companies raise money. I 15 did not get into specific details about what I did. BY MS. DOWLING: 16 17 So he -- you spoke with Mr. Salman about being in finance in general, Citigroup in particular. And that you worked on 18 financing? Is that --19 20 Α Yes. 21 That's correct? Q 22 Α Yes. 23 But you didn't use the term investment banker (Indicating quotation marks), is that correct? 24

25

Α

That's correct.

1 Q Why didn't you use that particular term to describe to 2 Mr. Salman what you did for a living? I -- I didn't like to tell people what I did for a living 3 because I didn't want people to make assumptions about me as a 4 5 person, and about my income level, or have ideas in their mind about what kind of a person -- you know, even back in 2002, 6 7 investment bankers had terrible reputations. So, I tried to not share with anybody what I did for a living. I didn't want 8 9 them to make any assumptions about me. 10 Okay. And, Mr. Kara, how did your brother Michael react Q 11 to your father's death in 2004? 12 Much like the whole family. We were all extremely 13 depressed, but I think my brother was suicidal at the time, 14 and took -- took my father's death very, very, very -- it deeply affected him. 15 16 Were they very close? 0 Yes. Very, very close. 17 18 Did he help care for your father in his later years quite 19 a bit? My brother did, yeah. And my brother -- and my dad really 20 21 -- what I've come to know, my dad shielded us very much from 22 the -- the issues that involved my brother. So, it was a 23 mutual caring relationship. 24 And, you know, my brother had my dad working with him, as 25 part of his remediation company. And, you know, my dad adored

Mounir, and Mounir adored my dad.

- **Q** And did Mounir take on some financial responsibilities for your dad during that time period?
- A I believe he did. He was always very generous with his parents, and with family. And my brother -- I -- I came to share in some of those responsibilities.

But yeah, there was a point where I knew my brother had been helping my family for -- for an extended amount of time.

And I talked to him, and I told him I wanted to participate in that. So --

- Q And had Mounir in the past -- Michael, in the past, had he helped you financially when you were growing up?
- A Yes. I think, you know, to some extent, he did help finance part of my business school education.
- Q You indicated earlier that your brother was suicidal at the time of your father's death. What do you mean by that?
- A It was around the Christmas holidays of 2004. And it was within a month of my dad's funeral. I was at my brother's house. And, he came out of his room, and sat down on the couch next to me.

And as I saw him walking in the room, he had a gun in his right hand, and he had a clip in his left hand, but he also had a clip in the gun. And he sat down next to me, and he just broke down and began to cry. And put the gun in his mouth. And said, "I -- I want to be with Dad."

- Q So, did you know that this was all about your father's death?
 - **A** That's how I -- yeah. That's how I interpreted it.
 - **Q** So, what did you do in response?
 - A I grabbed the gun out of my brother's hand; I put it to the side. I hugged my brother. And we started crying together.
 - Q Did you ever see Michael do anything along those lines after that point?
 - A No.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

20

21

22

- Q Did you ever observe him to do or say anything else that caused you to be concerned about his well-being after that point?
 - **A** Um, up to that point?
- 15 **Q** After that point.
- 16 A No, I don't think so.
- Q Mr. Kara, let's switch topics. Earlier you had mentioned a company called Protein Design Labs. What is Protein Design Labs?
 - A It was a biopharmaceutical company that was based here in Fremont, California, and focused on developing drugs to treat autoimmune diseases and anti-inflammatory diseases.
- 23 | Q And was Protein Design Labs a Citibank client?
- 24 **A** Yes, it was.
 - **Q** And did you personally do any work for Protein Design

Labs? 1 2 Yes, I did. Α What kind of work did you or you and Citigroup do for 3 4 Protein Design Labs? 5 We executed several transactions for the companies. Primarily around financings. And we also advised them on 6 7 mergers and acquisitions. And over what time period did you work with Protein Design 8 9 Labs? 10 I think it was one of the first accounts that I was 11 assigned, so I would say in that 2002-2003 time frame, all the 12 way through my departure from Citigroup, so the middle of 2007. 13 14 So this would have been one of the early companies that 15 you called on? 16 Α Yes. 17 To establish a relationship with? 0 18 Α Yes. And it worked because you did financings with them in the 19 20 next years after that. Correct? 21 Α Yes. 22 I'd like to turn now to what has been marked as Exhibit 23 238. And if you could please locate Exhibit 238. 24 If you can take a minute to look at that exhibit, and let

me know if you recognize it.

1 (Witness examines document) 2 Yes, I do. Α And what is this, Mr. Kara? What is that exhibit? 3 0 This is a series of e-mails -- or it's an e-mail from a 4 colleague, a Citigroup colleague named Mariano Gaut who is in 5 our equity markets team, to several members of the Protein 6 7 Design Lab as well as ESP Pharma working team. And what was the purpose of this e-mail, Mr. Kara? 8 9 This was the -- the purpose was to circulate a memorandum Α 10 in which we were examining acting as a financing agent for PDL 11 Biopharma, or PLI at that time, in their contemplated 12 acquisition of a private company called ESP Pharma. 13 MS. DOWLING: Your Honor, I would offer Exhibit 238 14 into evidence. 15 MS. SHIFMAN: Objection, foundation and hearsay, 16 Your Honor. THE COURT: Overruled. 238 is admitted. 17 (Trial Exhibit 238 received in evidence) 18 19 MS. DOWLING: Thank you, Maryam. 20 (Document displayed) 21 MS. DOWLING: If we could enlarge the top portion of 22 this e-mail, please. 23 BY MS. DOWLING: 24 Mr. Kara, that sentence here: "Attached is the memo that earlier on today..." 25

1 (Reporter interruption) BY MS. DOWLING: 2 "Attached is the memo that earlier on today ECM distributed to 3 Equities senior management to describe the PDLI situation." 4 Can you please tell the jury what "PDLI" is in reference 5 to? 6 7 Yes, that's Protein Design Labs, Inc. Α And if we could turn now to Page 2. 8 Q (Document displayed) 9 10 You reference that there was a memo that was sent along 0 11 with this e-mail. Do you recognize this portion of Exhibit 12 238? 13 Yes, I do. Α 14 And why is that? Q 15 There was -- I wrote a significant portion of this memo, ma'am, including the fundamental views of PDLI, as well as the 16 17 risk factors. 18 So you were involved in this transaction? Q 19 Yes, I was. Α 20 And at the top of the memo it says "CONFIDENTIAL," in bold 21 letters. Why is that? 22 Again, this is in relation to a potential financing of an 23 acquisition, so that type of information would be treated as 24 confidential material, non-public information within the team. 25 And can you describe again what's being conveyed by this Q

1 memo? 2 This is a description of the financing proposal that we have been asked to give to Protein Design Labs and with 3 respect to the acquisition of ESP Pharma. 4 And what is the date of this memo, Mr. Kara? 5 0 It looks like January 11, 2005. 6 7 If you look at the very last paragraph of the memo, maybe Maryam could blow that up or enlarge it, if you could read 8 9 that sentence, please. 10 Α (As read): 11 "The Citigroup team is in dialogue with PDLI about acting as a 12 financing agent for any contemplated transaction. We will be 13 meeting with the PDLI management team on January 12, 2005 to 14 discuss the potential financing alternatives." And to your knowledge, did that meeting, the January 12, 15 0 2005 meeting referenced, did that ever happen? 16 17 Yes, it did. Α 18 And how do you know that? 0 19 I was the person that actually set up that meeting. Α 20 And who, and who --0 21 And I also attended it. Α 22 Oh, you attended it? And who else was at the meeting? Q

I had invited a person from equity capital markets, my

partner there, Bruce Wu. I had also invited Mike Simon, the

head of our life sciences team. Mariano Gaut was there,

23

24

equity capital markets partner. And also a junior associate on the account.

- **Q** And, what was the purpose of that meeting?
- A The purpose was really for -- from my perspective, it was for us to express our interest to the CEO of PDLI that we would like to act as their financing agent with respect to ESP Pharma.

At that point, ESP Pharma was a private biotechnology company that Citigroup was engaged separately to sell. And, PDLI was very interested in buying the company. So, we had gotten released from conflicts and were able to act both as the seller of the private company, and as well as a potential financing agent for the buyer of that company.

- Q And what happened at that meeting with the CEO?
- A We expressed to him our interest in working with him, regarding that transaction. And, he conveyed to us in his interest -- his interest in actually being the party that wins the -- the auction process for the sale of ESP Pharma.
- Q Ands did you take any steps to help win that business at that meeting?
- A I did.

- **Q** What did you do?
- A I knew that the CEO of the company enjoyed white wine. So at that time I was not a wine connoisseur, and my brother knew a lot of about wines, so I'd asked him for his help in

- obtaining a couple of bottles of white wine that I took to the dinner for the CEO.
- 3 **Q** And where did you get the wine?
- A I believe we got it in San Francisco, at one of the local wineshops here along the pier.
 - Q Do you recall what kind of wine you got?
- 7 A Yes, two bottles of white. One was a Kistler Chardonnay, 8 and the other one was a Marcassin Chardonnay.
 - Q I take it, those were nice wines?
 - **A** They were expensive. So, I hope they were good.
 - **Q** And was PDLI's potential acquisition of ESP Pharma and Citigroup's provision for any financing of that deal all non-public information at that time?
- 14 **A** Yes.

9

10

11

12

13

15

- Q And was material information?
- 16 **A** Yes.
- 17 **Q** Why is that?
- A Because, had anyone -- had investors realized that PDLI
 was contemplating a \$500-million-plus size acquisition along
 with a related financing, that definitely would have caused a
 reaction to PDLI's stock price.
- 22 Q You told us that Michael had helped you pick out the wine 23 for this important meeting you were having with the CEO. Did 24 you and Michael discuss PDLI?
 - A Yes. We discussed -- we had discussed PDLI as early as

back in 2002, and Michael knew that I was developing a close relationship and a friendly relationship with the CEO of the company. And he knew about the dinner.

He asked me what the dinner was about. And I said, you know, "Please keep me in your prayers, and I hope we can win this transaction because it will mean a significant amount of fees for Citigroup, and hopefully it will help me in my career."

- **Q** And after the meeting, did you follow up with Michael and continue to provide him information about the transaction?
- A I did. I thanked my brother for the bottles of wine, and told him that the meeting went really well, and I hoped that a transaction would be consummated.

And then after that, I regularly updated him -- he would call me and ask me, "How are things going? How's work?" You know, something to that effect, often. And I would feel extremely comfortable in sharing updates about my work and about these types of projects for clients that I was working on.

- Q And, about the PDLI transaction in particular?
- A Yes. And in this case, about the PDLI transaction.
- Q You can set that exhibit to the side, please. And we're going to look at another Exhibit, No. 241.
- Tell me when you've had a chance to look at it.
- (Witness examines document)

1 Α Yes. 2 Do you recognize this document? Q 3 Α I do. 4 And, what is it? Q 5 It's an e-mail communication among the PDLI/ESP Pharma working group team. And it's from my colleague Dung Nguyen, 6 7 who at that time was, I believe, the senior officer engaged to represent ESP Pharma in their sale. 8 9 Q And this e-mail was sent to you, among others? 10 Α Yes. 11 MS. DOWLING: Your Honor, I move Exhibit 241 into 12 evidence, please. 13 MS. SHIFMAN: Objection, foundation and hearsay, 14 Your Honor. 15 THE COURT: All right. Objection overruled. 241 is 16 admitted. 17 (Trial Exhibit 241 received in evidence) 18 (Document displayed) 19 MS. DOWLING: If we could enlarge the text of the 20 e-mail, please. 21 BY MS. DOWLING: 22 You mentioned earlier Bruce Wu, and I see that he's 23 located on this e-mail, in ECM. Who is Bruce Wu, and what is 24 ECM? Bruce Wu was a Citigroup employee -- he is a Citigroup 25 Α

employee. He was a good friend of mine. And he was in charge of the equity capital markets division of the healthcare branch.

And what that means is any time that a public security was going to be issued on behalf of a corporate client in the healthcare area, Bruce Wu would be the representative from the equity capital markets side to help underwrite that transaction.

- Q And what is the date of this e-mail, Mr. Kara?
- A It looks like January 20, 2005.
- **Q** So by that time period, what do you recall what is the status of the PDLI/ESP Pharma transaction?
 - A It was, as -- as ascertained by the e-mail here, it was very close to being complete. And it looked like PDLI was one of the final bidders for the company. And there were just some minor valuation issues, and some fee issues. But it looked like, very close.
 - **Q** Getting towards the end of the transaction?
- **A** Yes, absolutely.
- **Q** And did you continue to update your brother Michael on the status of the PDLI transaction?
 - A Yes.

- **Q** And what did you tell him?
- A I had met with the CEO of PDLI, and I believe I was in
 Geneva. And, I had called my brother, I think, from -- or my

- brother had been trying to contact me, my phone could work in Europe and I told him that I just ran into the CEO of the company by chance, and it was looking good for the financing that they'd want to do a financing shortly after, if they won the deal.
- Q And did the deal, in fact, go through?
- 7 A Yes, it did.

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

- 8 Q I want to turn now to another company, Pain Therapeutics.
 - Are you familiar with a company called Pain Therapeutics?
 - **A** Yes, I am.
 - **Q** And what was Pain Therapeutics?
- 12 **A** It's a biopharmaceutical company that focuses on developing drugs for pain management.
- 14 Q And did you personally do any work for Pain Therapeutics?
- 15 **A** Yes, I did.
- 16 **Q** And what type of work did you for Pain Therapeutics?
- 17 **A** I did both financings as well as strategic advisory,
- 18 including mergers and acquisition.
- 19 **Q** And over what time period did you do that work?
- 20 | A Pain Therapeutics I think was my very first client in that
- 21 middle-of-2002 time frame. And I worked very closely with
- 22 them all the way until I resigned from Citigroup, the middle
- 23 of 2007.
- 24 **Q** I would like to show you now what's been marked as Exhibit
- 25 220, if you could find Exhibit 220.

A Yes.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q Take a look at the exhibit, and let me know if you recognize it.
- 4 (Witness examines document)
 - **l A** Yes.
 - **Q** And what is that document, Mr. Kara?
 - A It's an e-mail communication from me to the head of Live Sciences, Mark Simon, and my -- my colleague, Bruce Wu in equity capital markets.

And it's about wanting to stay on top of Pain

Therapeutics, because I expected that they were going to have some significant drug data coming up that would lead to the company wanting to issue securities in relation to a financing.

- Q And you reference "remi." Who is "remi"?
- 16 **A** "Remi" is Remi Barbier, who is the CEO of Pain
 17 Therapeutics.
 - Q And what is the "Remoxy data" that's referenced in this e-mail (Indicating quotation marks)?
 - A Remoxy was a late-stage drug that the company was developing. And it was an abuse-proof, tamper-proof version of Oxycontin, which, if I can ask people to think back to that 2004 time frame, there was significant abuse associated with Oxycontin around that time. And they were coming up with a version that, if successful, had the potential to

1 revolutionize the pain management industry. 2 MS. DOWLING: Your Honor, I would like to move Exhibit 220 into evidence. 3 MS. SHIFMAN: Objection. Foundation and hearsay, 4 Your Honor. 5 THE COURT: That objection is overruled. 220 is 6 7 admitted. (Trial Exhibit 220 received in evidence) 8 MS. DOWLING: We are not going to pull this one up, 9 10 Maryam. Thank you. 11 BY MS. DOWLING: If you could set that exhibit aside, please, and we're 12 13 going to move to Exhibit 223, please. Just take a minute and 14 look at that exhibit, and let me know if you recognize it. (Witness examines document) 15 16 Α Yes. 17 And what is this document? 18 This is an e-mail communication from me to my brother, Α Michael, where I'm updating him that I have a dinner scheduled 19 20 with Remi next week. And also, let him know that I had heard 21 that Sam's brother Tiger had -- had gotten into an accident 22 and smashed a deer. 23 MS. DOWLING: Your Honor, I would like to move 24 Exhibit 223 into evidence. 25 MS. SHIFMAN: Same objection, Your Honor. Foundation

1 and hearsay. 2 THE COURT: All right, objection overruled. 223 is admitted. 3 4 (Trial Exhibit 223 received in evidence) (Document displayed) 5 BY MS. DOWLING: 6 7 So, Mr. Kara, you indicated this is an e-mail between you and your brother, Michael. Just want to focus first on you 8 9 indicated that -- the last sentence says (As read): "Hey, I have dinner scheduled with remi next week at kokari in 10 San Francisco on the 1st." 11 12 Α Uh-huh. 13 And, who is "remi" in reference to? Q 14 This is Remi Barbier again, the CEO of Pain Therapeutics. Α 15 0 If we could look at the second paragraph, if you could 16 read that second paragraph, please. 17 Sure (As read): "I have a really wild story to tell you -- to share with you 18 19 about Tiger. Apparently he totaled his Mercedes. Smashed a 20 deer. Better than that, supposedly left the car where it was. 21 When the cops had shown up the deer had gone away but he ended 22 up not being over at Bassam's but supposedly at Tiger Trade 23 because he didn't want anyone to wake up." 24 And then: "Even you and I combined could not have come up with that. 25

```
1
       Love you, Maher."
 2
           One sentence, "The best part..."
       Q
           "The best part is that Susie believes it."
 3
       Α
 4
           (Reporter interruption)
 5
       BY MS. DOWLING:
 6
           In this paragraph, who is "Tiger" in reference to,
7
       Mr. Kara?
 8
       Α
           Sam's brother.
9
           And, sleeping at Bassam's, is that Mr. Bassam Salman
       Q
10
       (Indicating)?
11
           Yes.
12
           And, the reference to "Susie," would that be your wife
13
       Susie, I take it?
14
       Α
           Yes.
           So is this, you are just relaying -- what are you relying
15
       0
16
       to your brother --
           I'm relaying to my brother that Tiger had gotten into a
17
       car accident with a deer, and that he ended up not being over
18
19
       at Sam's.
20
           And did you get that information from Tiger or from --
       0
21
           From, I think, Susie.
       Α
22
           From Susie? Okay. All right, thank you. Mr. Kara, did
       Q
23
       you share any confidential information about Pain Therapeutics
24
       with your brother, Michael?
25
           I did.
       Α
```

- 1 Q And what information did you share with your brother, 2 Michael?
- A I told him about the upcoming follow-on transaction that
 we were expected to have should the Remoxy data be positive.
 - Q Let's switch now to another company called Bone Care International.
- 7 **A** Yes.

- 8 **Q** Are you familiar with this company?
- 9 A Yes, I am.
- Q And were you the person at Citi that was responsible for working with Bone Care International?
- 12 **A** No, I was not.
- 13 **Q** And who was, to your knowledge?
- 14 **A** It was a colleague of mine named Henry Schwake.
- 15 $\parallel \mathbf{Q}$ Were you aware that Bone Care was a Citigroup client?
- 16 **A** Yes, I was.
- Q And, how were you aware that Citigroup had Bone Care as a client?
- A As part of what we did at Citigroup, we assigned the different officers accounts. And, I knew that Bone Care International was assigned to Henry Schwake.
- Q Turn now to, if you could take Exhibit 142 out. Take a look at that, and let me know when you're ready.
- 24 **A** Yes.
- 25 **Q** Do you recognize this document?

I do. 1 Α 2 And what is it? Q This is a -- what we call an account profile. And, what 3 4 we developed, I actually developed this format. This was a way that Citigroup officers were able to monitor their 5 relationships and the activities associated with the corporate 6 7 clients that they had. MS. DOWLING: Your Honor, I would like to move 8 9 Exhibit 142 into evidence, please. 10 MS. SHIFMAN: No objection, Your Honor. 11 THE COURT: All right, 142 is admitted. (Trial Exhibit 142 received in evidence) 12 13 (Document displayed) 14 BY MS. DOWLING: If you could turn to Page 19, please, Mr. Kara. 15 0 16 (Request complied with by the Witness) 17 Α Yes. 18 Did you personally receive these account review sheets? Q Yes, I did. 19 Α 20 And how do you know that? 0 21 I physically used to carry it in my briefcase, often. 22 this was -- we actually had an off site in Montauk, New York, 23 where all the officers assembled, as well as the junior 24 people, and we were distributed copies of these for each of the officers so that they could talk about their relationships 25

with the individual accounts.

- Q If you could take a look at this Page 19, what is Page 19 of this account review sheets document?
- A This is specifically referencing Bone Care International.
- **Q** And it says "Account Profile." What information is this intended to provide to you, as a banker at Citigroup?
- A What's important to us is to know under the "Client Contacts" heading, who are the people that we call on at the client. We look below that, and we say what transactions have we done for the company in the last six months, where the meeting history has been, who our competitors are in terms of the other investment banks.

And things like objectives, what we need to do to get better traction with the account. And then top bids and opportunities are upcoming transactions that we are seeking to really get for these companies. And then below is the coverage team, meaning who are the officers that are responsible to call on them.

- Q You said earlier that you were aware that Bone Care
 International was a client of Citigroup. In the April 2005
 time period, were you specifically aware that Citigroup was
 engaged on a transaction for Bone Care International?
- A No, I was not. I don't recall, sitting here today, knowing that. But, it's the kind of thing that I could have very easily learned.

1 Q So, do you recall having any conversations with your 2 brother, Michael Kara, about Bone Care International? 3 Α No. Would you exclude the possibility that you may have had 4 0 conversations with Michael Kara about Bone Care International? 5 I can't -- I can't exclude it. 6 Α 7 I want to turn now to another company, called Endo 8 Pharmaceuticals. Are you familiar with a company called Endo 9 Pharmaceuticals? 10 Yes, I am. Α 11 0 And what is Endo Pharmaceuticals? 12 Α I'm sorry, we're done with --13 Yes, you can set that aside. Q Endo Pharmaceuticals is a specialty pharmaceutical company 14 Α 15 that focuses on developing drugs in the pain management 16 sector. 17 And did Citigroup do any work for Endo Pharmaceuticals? 0 Yes, we did several transactions for them. 18 Α 19 And what transactions did you do for them, that you 20 recall? 21 They were a private company, so we took them public. Α 22 they were owned by DuPont Pharmaceuticals. We then conducted 23 several follow-on transactions for the company, issuing several \$100 million worth of stock for them. 24

And we were also engaged as a strategic advisor, to look

at the possibility of the company either being sold, or look 1 2 at the possibility that they buy other companies. When you said "we," were you personally involved in some 3 0 4 of the work that Citigroup did with Endo? Yes, I was a lead coverage officer on the account. 5 Α I would like to show you now what is marked as Exhibit 6 7 208, if you could find that in the exhibit pile, please. Take a moment and look at it, and let me know if you 8 9 recognize it, please. 10 Α Yes, I do. 11 And what is it, Mr. Kara? 12 This is, again -- Mike Rockefeller was an analyst that 13 worked for me -- or worked for Citigroup, excuse me. But he 14 was on -- my junior team member on the Endo Pharmaceuticals account. 15 And it's an e-mail from him with an attachment to the team 16 17 regarding a commitment committee memorandum that was 18 circulated about an upcoming follow-on transaction for Endo. 19 MS. DOWLING: Your Honor, I would like to move 20 Exhibit 208 into evidence. 21 MS. SHIFMAN: Same objection. Foundation and 22 hearsay. 23 THE COURT: Was this received by the witness, is his

24

25

name --

```
BY MS. DOWLING:
1
 2
           Mr. Kara, was this e-mail on the document --
       Q
                THE COURT: I see it, okay. Objection overruled.
 3
 4
       208 is admitted.
           (Trial Exhibit 208 received in evidence)
 5
       BY MS. DOWLING:
 6
7
           So, Mr. Kara -- let's let it get up on the screen here,
       and then we'll talk about it.
8
9
           (Document displayed)
10
           First, what is the date of this e-mail?
       Q
11
           May 7, 2004 -- excuse me.
12
           You stated that the memo was attached to this e-mail.
13
       What was the name of that memo? What are those memos called?
14
           It's called a "commitment committee memorandum."
       Α
15
       0
           If we could turn to Page 2 of this document.
16
           (Document displayed)
17
           Is this part of the commitment committee memorandum that
       0
18
       you referenced?
                 This is the actual memorandum, itself.
19
20
                MS. DOWLING: Maryam, if we could enlarge the top
21
       left portion.
22
           (Document displayed)
       BY MS. DOWLING:
23
24
           So, Mr. Kara, were you on the healthcare team? Do you see
       yourself here on the healthcare team?
25
```

A Yes.

- Q And, can you please describe the nature of the transaction?
 - A Yes. The company was interested in issuing between 15 to 20 million shares of its common stock in what we call a follow-on stock offering. And, the total proceeds estimated on the size of the deal really were dependent on the share price, but it was estimated that the company was looking to raise about \$500 million.
 - Q Thank you. And you can set that exhibit to the side, please.

I would like to show you now what's been marked as Exhibit 259. If you could take a look at that, and let me know if you recognize it.

- **A** Yes, I do.
- 16 Q And what is this document, Mr. Kara?
 - A This is an automatic e-mail that is sent out from what's known as "autodeal," from the header. It's an internal system that we use at Citigroup that logs live transactions that we have earned a mandate on.

So it's an e-mail from that system to acknowledge the receipt of a transaction regarding Endo Pharmaceuticals.

- **Q** And was this email sent to you, among others?
- **A** Yes it was.
 - **Q** And what is the date of this e-mail?

```
It looks like June 10, 2005.
1
       Α
 2
                MS. DOWLING: Your Honor, I would like to move
       Exhibit 259 into evidence, please.
 3
                MS. SHIFMAN: Same objection, foundation and hearsay.
 4
                THE COURT: All right, objection overruled. 259 is
 5
       admitted.
 6
7
           (Trial Exhibit 259 received in evidence)
 8
           (Document displayed)
9
                MS. DOWLING: If we could enlarge the first portion
10
       of this e-mail, please, Maryam.
11
           (Document displayed)
12
       BY MS. DOWLING:
13
           So, Mr. Kara, you were part of this deal team, right?
       0
14
       Α
           Yes.
15
           And what is the deal that is being discussed in this
       June 10, 2005 e-mail?
16
17
           It is that we have been asked by Endo Pharmaceuticals to
18
       act as their advisor in a contemplated acquisition by a very
19
       large Israeli pharmaceutical company called TEVA
20
       Pharmaceuticals.
21
           If we could move to about halfway down the page, please.
       Q
22
           (Document displayed)
23
           Okay. Do you see in the middle of this enlargement it
       O
       says "Project Name, Project Everest Tibet"? What does that
24
25
       mean?
```

A As part of our safeguarding policies as Citigroup, we would assign project names to merger and acquisition assignments to protect the integrity of the information that we were -- that we had obtained.

We oftentimes would take a look at the first initial of each of the companies that are involved. And we would create project names based on that. So "Everest" was for Endo Pharmaceuticals, and "Tibet" was for TEVA pharmaceuticals.

- **Q** And you said, "protect the integrity of the information." What do you mean by that, Mr. Kara?
- A Again, it's a safeguarding technique that we would use, because our goal was to not have people that didn't need to know about the transaction even within Citigroup know about it.

So we would create project names, to not share what the transaction was about with others, or who the parties involved were.

- Q And was TEVA's potential acquisition of Endo

 Pharmaceuticals confidential information as of June 10, 2005?
- A Yes, it was.
- **Q** Was it material information?
- **A** Yes, it was.

- **Q** Why is that?
- A Because had a larger public audience learned that at the TEVA Pharmaceuticals was interested in buying Endo, it would

have likely created a significant stock price jump in Endo 1 2 Pharmaceuticals' stock. Did you discuss TEVA's potential acquisition of Endo 3 4 Pharmaceuticals with your brother, Michael Kara? Yes, I did. 5 А Can you please describe the nature of those conversations 6 7 with your brother? It was -- I was sitting at his kitchen table, going 8 9 through -- or learning about the transaction in California. 10 And had learned -- gotten a call from several deal team 11 members that Endo had entered into dialogue with TEVA 12 pharmaceuticals about potential e-mail being sold to them. 13 So, I took the call from my brother's house. He overheard 14 it. Came to me and said something to the effect of, "Hey, 15 what's going on?" And I said, "Great news..." I would have said something 16 to the effect of "Great news, looks like Endo may be sold, 17 hopefully to TEVA. We'll see where it goes." 18 Did Michael ever tell you that he was buying or selling 19 20 Endo securities? 21 Α No. 22 Q You can set that exhibit to the side, please. 23 (Request complied with by the Witness) 24 Mr. Kara, I want to turn back to the -- the time of your 25 wedding. What was the date of your wedding?

July 9, 2005. 1 Α 2 And where were you married? Q 3 We were married in Chicago, Illinois. Α 4 I would like to show you now what's been marked as Exhibit Q 5 89. If you could take a look at that, and let me know if you 6 recognize it. 7 (Request complied with by the Witness) Yes, I do. 8 Α 9 What is that? Q 10 That is a picture of some of our wedding party in front of 11 the church that my wife Susie and I were going to be married 12 at. 13 And is it a fair and accurate depiction of your wedding Q 14 celebration, on or about July 9, 2005? 15 Α It is a very small portion of a large wedding. 16 But, accurate of the small portion? Q 17 Α Yes. Yes. 18 Q Okay. MS. DOWLING: Your Honor, I would like to move 19 20 Exhibit 89 into evidence. 21 MS. SHIFMAN: No objection, Your Honor. 22 THE COURT: Thank you. 89 is admitted. 23 (Trial Exhibit 89 received in evidence) 24 (Document displayed) 25

BY MS. DOWLING:

1

5

10

11

- 2 Mr. Kara, where are you located in this photo? Q
- I was the smiling person in the middle of the photograph, 3 Α
- 4 with a white tie, and a white flower on my lapel.
 - And is your brother Michael in this photo? Q
- Yes, ma'am. 6 Α
- 7 Where is he located? Q
- He's directly across from me. And I don't know if the 8
- 9 jury can see it. There's a little bit of a red outline of his tie. You've got his profile kind of turned towards the

audience, but he's the closest male person in front of me.

- 12 Where the arrow is now pointing (Indicating)?
- 13 Α Yes, ma'am.
- 14 Okay. And if you could look at the back of the photo, Q
- there's a yellow circle around an individual. Do you 15
- recognize that individual? 16
- 17 Yes, ma'am. Α
- Who is that? 18 0
- 19 That's my brother-in-law, Bassam. Α
- 20 And, during your wedding celebration, did you observe your 0
- 21 brother Michael and Bassam Salman interact?
- 22 Α I did.
- 23 And what did you observe?
- 24 And this is around the time of the wedding celebration, Α
- 25 ma'am?

- Yes, during the wedding celebration. Q
- So we had a -- numerous times to all get together. I Α mean, it was celebratory, and it was getting family -- all about getting the families together. So we had a cruise dinner after our rehearsal dinner that we hosted for very close family and out-of-town quests. And, we also had a 7 dinner the night before the wedding that we all got together

And, on the day of the wedding, my brother, acting really on behalf of my dad, or as representative of the elder in the family, would have gone to my brother-in-law's house -- Ghazy Salman, because he's the oldest brother, to go and request their sister's hand, my wife, Susie, in marriage for a final time, and have the families agree to that wedding.

- 0 So this became your brother's duty, because your father had passed away?
- Α Yes.
- So he went to Susie -- your wife's -- older brother's house?
- 20 Α Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

24

25

at.

- And would Mr. Bassam Salman have been there as well? Q
- 22 I would have expected it. I don't know if he was actually 23 there.
 - And before, before the wedding, you had indicated there were other cultural reasons for your brother Michael Kara and

1 your future brother-in-law Bassam Salman to get to know one 2 another and to interact. Is that right? 3 Α Yes. 4 And going back to the photo that we have on up on the 0 screen, there is another individual circled in red. Prior to 5 2009, did you recognize this man? 6 7 I did not. Α No. At that time, the time of your wedding, did you know 8 someone named Karim Bayyouk? 9 10 No, I did not. Α 11 Set the wedding photo aside, and I would like to show you 12 now what is been marked as Exhibit 69. If you could look at 13 Exhibit 69, please, and let me know when you have had a chance 14 to look at it. 15 (Witness examines document) (Off-the-Record discussion between counsel) 16 17 THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. 18 BY MS. DOWLING: And what is this document, Mr. Kara? 19 Q 20 That is an e-mail from my wife, Susie, to me and it was 21 about our guest list for the dinner cruise that I was 22 referencing to you. She was my fiancee, excuse me, at that 23 time. She wasn't my wife yet. And I assume she did most of the wedding planning? 24 0

She did a great job. Yes, she did.

25

Α

1 Q Okay. 2 MS. DOWLING: Your Honor, I would like to move Exhibit 69 into evidence. 3 MS. SHIFMAN: Continuing objection, Your Honor. 4 THE COURT: Overruled. 69 is admitted. 5 (Trial Exhibit 69 received in evidence) 6 7 (Document displayed) BY MS. DOWLING: 8 9 Okay, Mr. Kara, there appear to be several groupings of Q 10 people shown in this e-mail. What do those groupings exhibit? I think my fiancee at the time, my wife now, grouped us 11 into kind of the closest relatives. People coming in from 12 13 California, people coming from Detroit, and then obviously the 14 local family in Chicago. If we can look at the first grouping, if you could tell us 15 who those individuals are, please? 16 17 Um Mounir and Um Susie. "Um," U-M, is an Arabic word meaning "mother of." And it's usually of the eldest child in 18 the family. So, that's referencing my mom and Susie's mom. 19 20 Mounir, Miriam is his wife, and then their two kids. And 21 then my sister and her husband and daughter. 22 Q Okay. And if we could look at the second grouping of 23 individuals, we're going to scroll down here. 24 (Document displayed) 25 Q So, we've got California guests. And we're not going to

go through all of these folks, but if you could tell us who --1 2 go through the first three rows of individuals, and tell us who those people are. 3 Sure. Zahi and Haifa are referenced. Zahi Haddad and his 4 wife. Zahi Haddad, as I mentioned earlier, is my uncle, my 5 mom's brother. 6 7 Sabiha and May. May is the daughter -- she is the sister of Safwan Hito, that was on that organizational chart earlier. 8 Sabiha is the mother. 9 10 (Reporter interruption) 11 THE WITNESS: So, Sabiha is May Hito's mom. 12 then, Emile Jilwan is my brother's friend. 13 BY MS. DOWLING: 14 Okay. And if we could move down now to the Detroit 15 guests. 16 (Document displayed) 17 I just want to focus specifically on the last two rows: "Rana/Karim (roula's sister), Nabil/Rania (roula's sister)." 18 19 Could you tell us who those individuals were, and their 20 relationship to your family? 21 Yes. I -- I did -- the people that I knew were Nabil and 22 Rania, who I had met before. But my sister-in-law Roula has 23 three sisters, and these were two of them that were listed 24 along with, I guess, the names of their husbands. 25 Now I want to go down to the last grouping of folks, the Q

- 1 Chicago guests. 2 Α Uh-huh. I don't need to go through all of these, but I want to 3 focus on the first two rows, starting with "Ghazy" and "Sam." 4 Sure. This is a reference to Ghazy Salman and Bassam 5 Salman, and their families. Their spouses. And their 6 7 children. And, who were those individuals? 8 So, Ghazy is married to a lovely lady named Khulud, and 9 Α 10 they have four great kids: Laura, Jack, Natalie and Yazan. 11 And Bassam's married to a wonderful lady, Roula, with their three kids: Amal, Amanda and Michael, at that time. 12 13 And they had a fourth that wasn't there. 14 Okay, thank you. And the individual named Ghazy and the Q individual named Sam, those are brothers of your wife Susie. 15 16 Correct? 17 Α Yes. 18 And does Ghazy have a nickname? 0 "Tiger." 19 Α 20 Is that the Tiger about whom you were e-mailing in the 21 e-mail that we looked at earlier, with your brother, Michael? 22 Α Yes. Yes, it is. 23 And you indicated earlier that your brother had taken on
 - Della Dell and Connia Kubi

Were there other cultural reasons why your brother would

the role of your father during the time of your wedding.

24

1 have interacted with Bassam Salman and the Salman family? 2 I have -- I think it's customary in the Middle Eastern culture that when you get married, it's not only a union of 3 4 the two people in the party, but it is also a union of the families. 5 So part of our traditions, in order to finally get married 6 7 you need not only the sign-off of the bride and groom, but you 8 need the sign-off of the family to agree to accept the 9 marriage. 10 You can move that exhibit to the side. 11 MS. DOWLING: And we're finished with that exhibit, 12 Maryam. Thank you. 13 BY MS. DOWLING: 14 Mr. Kara, who was the best man at your wedding? Q 15 Α It was my brother. And did he give a speech at your wedding? 16 Q 17 Yes, he did. Α 18 And did he talk about your job during that speech? Q Yes, he did. 19 Α 20 What did he say? 0 21 I was actually very surprised. But, he put significant Α 22 emphasis on my career, and said I was an investment banker 23 and, you know, not just a normal guy, that I was an investment 24 banker, whatever that meant to him. And, took me a little bit

25

by surprise.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But, he was talking a lot about my career and about the accomplishments that I had. And I know he meant it in a way that he was very proud of me. But, it was something that kind of, you know, took me back, took me a little bit by surprise. So he was proud of you, your brother? Q That is how I interpreted it, yes. Α Okay. I want to jump forward now to the beginning of 2006 Q time period. Uh-huh. Α Are you familiar with a company called Andrx Corporation? Q Α Yes, I am. Q And what is Andrx Corporation? Andrx Corporation was a specialty pharmaceutical company Α that was based here in the United States. 0 And in the January 2006 time period was Citigroup doing any work for Andrx, or relating to Andrx Corporation? Yes, we were. Α And who did Citigroup represent during that time period? 0 We were representing a company called Wockhardt Pharmaceuticals. It was an Indian specialty pharmaceutical company. And we were representing them in the potential acquisition of Andrx. And did you have any role in working with Wockhardt? 0 Yes, I did. I had just previously concluded working with

them for the prior six months on an unsuccessful attempt to

buy another specialty pharmaceutical company, called Alpharma. 1 2 If you could turn now to Exhibit 4, please. Q Take a moment to look at it, and let me know if you recognize it. 3 4 Yes. Α And what is this document, Mr. Kara? 5 This is a series of e-mails among Citigroup employees that 6 7 were on the working group team for Wockhardt Pharmaceuticals. And, were looking at potential acquisition of Andrx. 8 9 And did you receive this e-mail? Q 10 Yes, I did. Α And what is the date of this e-mail? 11 0 The latest one was on January 11, 2006. 12 Α 13 MS. DOWLING: Your Honor, I would like to move 14 Exhibit 4 into evidence. 15 MS. SHIFMAN: Continuing objection, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right, objection overruled. 4 is 16 17 admitted. (Trial Exhibit 4 received in evidence) 18 19 (Document displayed) 20 BY MS. DOWLING: 21 Mr. Kara, this e-mail is from an individual named Trygve 22 Mikkelsen. Who is that? 23 Trygve Mikkelsen was the head of healthcare mergers and 24 acquisitions at Citigroup.

And then, looking down, you can see the second e-mail,

25

Q

there is an individual named Devinjit Singh. Who is that? 1 2 Α Nickamed "DJ Singh," he was my colleague that sat in the Mumbai office, and was the officer that was responsible for 3 4 Wockhardt physically on the ground in India. 5 I want to focus your attention specifically on this 0 paragraph in Mr. Singh's email, where it says (As read): 6 7 "Given Wockhardt's imminent bid for Andrx, I'm going ahead and circulating a dial in (below) for 11:00 a.m. New York time 8 9 today to discuss Wockhardt as well as Ranbaxy." 10 (Reporter interruption) 11 BY MS. DOWLING: 12 (As read): 13 "Given Wockhardt's imminent bid for Andrx, I am going ahead 14 and circulating a dial in (below) for 11 a.m. New York time today to discuss Wockhardt as well as Ranbaxy. Request all 15 who can make it to dial in. Thanks, DJ." 16 17 Mr. Kara, what did you understand "imminent bid for Andrx" 18 to mean? That Wockhardt was going to be submitting a proposal to 19 Α 20 buy Andrx Corporation. 21 And did you consider that information to be confidential? Q 22 Α Yes. 23 And was this information public? 0 24 Α No. Was it material information? 25 Q

1	A	Yes.
2	Q	Why is that?
3	A	Because had it been disseminated to the general public, it
4	lik	ely would have caused Andrx's stock price to go up.
5	Q	And you can set that exhibit to the side, please. When
6	you	have a moment, turn to Exhibit 5, please. Take a look at
7	it,	and let me know if you recognize that document.
8		(Request complied with by the Witness)
9	A	Yes, I do, ma'am.
10	Q	And what is this document?
11	A	This is again a series of e-mails among the different
12	wor	king group team members on the transaction of Wockhardt
13	buy	ring Andrx. And it's an e-mail that I I originally
14	rec	eived an e-mail indicating that Wockhardt was going to be
15	buy	ring Andrx or would like to buy Andrx.
16		I then forwarded that message to my colleague, Ross
17	Mac	Intyre, who was head of fixed income, in order to get him
18	to	start thinking about a financing proposal for the
19	tra	nsaction.
20		MS. DOWLING: Your Honor, I would like to move
21	Exh	ibit 5 into evidence, please.
22		MS. SHIFMAN: Continuing objection, Your Honor.
23		THE COURT: All right. Objection overruled. 5 is
24	adm	itted.

(Trial Exhibit 5 received in evidence)

1 (Document displayed) 2 MS. DOWLING: If we could start, Maryam, on the top of the second page where the earlier e-mails are, please. 3 (Document displayed) 4 BY MS. DOWLING: 5 What is this portion of the e-mail, Mr. Kara? 6 7 It's an e-mail again from DJ Singh, our Yes, ma'am. colleague in India, notifying the working group team that he 8 9 and a gentleman named Pramit Jhaveri, who was his boss in India, with Wockhardt's chairman, Dr. Khorikawala -- and that 10 11 they expressed an interest in buying Andrx, and actually agreed to submit an unsolicited offer to the Andrx CEO. 12 13 And I see it says (As read): 14 "Agree to accept an unsolicited offer from W." What is "W"? 15 "W" is a reference to Wockhardt. 16 Α 17 And if we could look down to the second-to-last paragraph 18 that you see on the screen. 19 Α Yes. 20 If you could read that paragraph, please. 0 21 (As read) Α 22 "W is paranoid about confidentiality - B of A/Andrx have 23 indicated they would break off discussions in the event of a 24 leak." 25 And what did you understand that to mean, Mr. Kara? Q

Quite simply, what it said. Bank of America is the 1 Α 2 reference to "B of A." They were Andrx's advisor. That they wanted to keep this, the knowledge of -- of Wockhardt's 3 interest in Andrx very confidential, and that they would 4 5 potentially break off the deal in the event of a leak. 6 And did you consider this information to be confidential? 0 7 Α Yes. Non-public? 8 Q 9 Α Yes. 10 And material information? Q 11 Α Yes. 12 Q If you can turn back to the first page, please. 13 (Request complied with by the Witness) 14 You indicated that this first e-mail had been forwarded to Q Ross MacIntyre. And, the first paragraph says (As read): 15 "re confidentiality: we should start using project name and 16 17 code names on all e-mails and memos immediately." What did you understand that to mean? 18 As I've mentioned today, ma'am, typically when we are 19 20 mandated on a merger or acquisition, we, as a -- as a proper 21 safeguard policy, would assign a project name with code names 22 for the target and the acquirer in order to protect the 23 confidentiality of that information. And to make sure that 24 only people who needed to know even within Citigroup actually

knew about the deal.

- **Q** And did you continue to work on Wockhardt's potential acquisition of Andrx after January 11th?
- A I did.

- **Q** And what happened?
 - A Oh, excuse me. After -- after January 11, I did just for a short time, and then I was actually asked to no longer work on the account.
 - **Q** So you worked on it some period past January 11th, and then you no longer worked on the transaction?
 - A I was -- I helped -- what ended up happening was I was -- Citigroup had gone and hired a new person that was going to be focused on the specialty pharmaceutical sector.
 - O Uh-huh.
 - A His name was Dung Nguyen. And Trygve Mikkelsen, the head of M&A, asked that Dung supersede me in terms of having primary responsibility on the account.

As the transaction went forward, and because of my prior knowledge of Wockhardt and its financing history and the complications associated with an Indian buyer buying a U.S. company, I remained active in terms of knowledge of the account over the time. Of -- of what was happening on the transaction.

- **Q** So you were still involved, just a little bit more peripherally?
- A That's correct.

If you would set that exhibit aside, we're going to turn 1 Q 2 to what's been marked as Exhibit 290, please. And take a look at that document, and let me know when you've had a chance to 3 look at it, and if you recognize it. 4 5 Α Yes. And what is this document, Mr. Kara? 6 7 This is a series of communications between the internal Α working group list for the Wockhardt/Andrx transaction. And 8 9 it's actually -- the main part of it was an e-mail from Trygve 10 Mikkelsen, the head of M&A, indicating that I no longer need 11 to be cc'ed on this particular transaction because I'm not 12 going to be working on it. 13 MS. DOWLING: Your Honor, I would offer Exhibit 290 14 into evidence, please. MS. SHIFMAN: Continuing objection. 15 THE COURT: All right, thank you. Okay, overruled. 16 17 290 is admitted. (Trial Exhibit 290 received in evidence) 18 19 (Document displayed) 20 BY MS. DOWLING: 21 Mr. Kara, if you look in the middle of the page here, this 22 second e-mail is from an individual named Indranil Ghosh. 23 is that? 24 He was an investment banking colleague that was working

for us as an analyst in the India investment banking group.

And, what are the dates of these e-mails? 1 Q 2 Α It seems to be right around January 12th of 2006. Okay. And, Mr. Ghosh says (As read): 3 "Please find attached the Sprout Engagement letter." 4 What is Sprout? 5 That was one of the code names that we used for the 6 Α 7 Wockhardt/Andrx situation. Okay. And what is an engagement letter? 8 An engagement letter is an agreement where -- between 9 10 Citigroup and a corporate client, where the corporate client 11 agrees to hire Citigroup as an advisor on a transaction. 12 You indicated that you had been removed from this deal, 13 but stayed involved peripherally. This is an e-mail that you 14 testified about, from Tryqve Mikkelsen that says (As read): "No need to cc Maher on this as he will not be involved." 15 How did you feel about being removed from the core of the 16 17 working group on this transaction? Yeah, I was very upset about being taken off this 18 Α transaction. 19 20 Why is that? 0 21 Several reasons. I was the U.S. person that actually 22 facilitated the first transaction that we were engaged on, and 23 did not win. And I had developed a very close relationship 24 with the chairman of Wockhardt.

I was a second-year director at that January, 2006 time

- And that could have been a promotion year for me. 1 frame. The 2 next level would have been promotion to managing director. And, the way the investment banks work, oftentimes the way you 3 4 get promoted is by bringing in a large amount of fees that get attributed to Citigroup. 5 And, this transaction, if it had gone through, had a 6 7 significant amount of fees that could have been associated with it. Both in terms of the financing, as well as a 8 9 separate fee for the advisory of the transaction. And, having 10 lost the opportunity to get credit for that, I was very upset 11 about it. 12 So you were looking to be promoted to managing director? 13 I mean, it would have been early as a -- as a D2, Α Yeah. 14 but something that I'd actually thought, based on my prior performance, that I could have been promoted that year. 15 16 Is that -- I'm sorry, go ahead. 0 17
- - Α No --
- 18 Is that the top of the investment banking food chain, if 19 you will?
 - Yes. At Citigroup, it was. Α
- 21 And did you discuss being removed from this transaction Q 22 with your brother Michael?
- 23 Α I did.

- 24 And what did you tell him? 0
 - I told him something to the effect that I was really Α

pissed that I was taken off of this account. Specifically, that it was an account that I had busted my butt on.

And I had even been at home in December of 2005, previously, when we found out that we didn't win the last deal for Wockhardt, with a different pharmaceutical company. And so my brother was aware that we had lost a deal for Wockhardt as early as 2005. December of 2005.

- Q And why did you have these discussions with Michael in early 2006 about this transaction?
- A You know, as I described the nature of my relationship with him, he sort of -- you know, he became very interested in my career, became very interested in how I was doing. And, he was somebody that I viewed as my friend, and somebody that I could count on to sort of vent about my career.

It just -- I got so comfortable -- naively comfortable and completely unguarded about my relationship with him, that it felt like there's no possible way he would do something. You know, that that -- passing that information to him was like talking to a sound -- you know, to a soundboard.

- Q In this January, 2006 time period, when you were removed from the deal, after that time, did you continue to monitor what was going on with the Andrx negotiations?
- A Yes.

- **Q** And how did you do that?
 - A My colleague Dung Nguyen didn't have the benefit of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

working on the previous transaction. So, there was some complicated structuring questions around the financing of this transaction because the company was based in India, and there were all sorts of rules around how much debt they could assume, et cetera. So my colleague Dung would come and ask me questions about how to structure the deal. I would like to show you what's marked as Exhibit 296, If you could pull that from the exhibit pile. If you can move forward to 296. Α Yes. 0 Do you recognize this document? Α I do. And what is this document? Q This is a series of e-mails between my friend and Α colleague Dung Nguyen and I. And what is the subject matter of these e-mails? 0 It was about getting together for dinner, and then -- that night. And he was referencing that -- I thought, when he was talking -- in one of the e-mails he references talking about

And I mentioned to him, "Hey, you know, great job, can I get a lucite and an invite to the closing dinner."

the Andrx situation. I thought he meant "closed" as in that

the deal was done. He was talking about "close," about the

Q So this dialogue was about the Andrx --

Andrx transaction.

1 Α The Andrx transaction. Yes, I'm sorry. 2 MS. DOWLING: Your Honor, I would like to move Exhibit 296 into evidence. 3 4 MS. SHIFMAN: Same objection. THE COURT: All right, overruled. Admitted. 5 (Trial Exhibit 296 received in evidence) 6 7 (Document displayed) 8 MS. DOWLING: If we could enlarge the middle portion 9 of this e-mail. 10 (Document displayed) 11 BY MS. DOWLING: 12 Mr. Kara, the section where it says "u rock, can I get a 13 lucite and an invite to the closing din..." 14 Α Yes. 15 0 That's where you indicated that you thought that the deal had closed at that time? 16 17 Α Yes. 18 But it had not yet closed. 0 I guess I later learned that "close" or "closed" --19 Α 20 what I thought was "closed" meant "close." 21 Q And what is a lucite? 22 A lucite is a little plastic trophy that investment 23 bankers make when a deal gets closed. And we use it as a kind 24 of an affirmation of the deal we just finished. 25 And, did that deal ever take place? Q

- **A** Yes, it did. But it was with a different buyer.
- Q Okay. Thank you. You can set that exhibit to the side, please.

(Request complied with by the Witness)

- **Q** I want to turn now to another company, called United Surgical Partners International. Are you familiar with a company called United Surgical Partners International?
- A Yes, I am.
- Q Is it also known as USPI?
- 10 **A** Yes.

1

4

5

6

7

8

- 11 **Q** And what is it?
- 12 **A** It is a company that's in the healthcare services space.
- 13 And was a client of Citigroup's.
- Q And in the summer of 2006 time period, was Citigroup performing any work for USPI?
- 16 **A** Yes, they were.
- 17 **Q** What was it doing?
- A Citigroup was representing their largest shareholder, a

 private equity firm, that was looking to potentially buy the

 entire company of United Surgical Partners.
- 21 **Q** And were you working on this transaction for --
- 22 **A** No, I was not.
- 23 Q And how did you come to learn that Citigroup was advising
- 24 a company on a purchase of USPI?
- 25 **A** I learned it from my colleague, Daniel Decelles.

- Q And what was the company that was looking to purchase USPI?
 - A It was -- I apologize, the name I'm blanking on, but it was a private equity -- their lead shareholder was a private equity firm that was looking to buy the company.
 - **Q** And, about approximately when did you -- do you recall learning about this transaction?
 - A I recall learning about it during the summer, kind of end of August, early September of 2006.
 - Q And did you have discussions with your brother about USPI?
 - A I did.

Q And what did you tell him?

conducted leveraged buyouts.

A Initially, the discussions started over a CNBC show at his house and we were watching something about leveraged buyouts.

And my brother became interested in understanding who

And I explained to him that a company like USPI which had a significant shareholder, the shareholder could come and do an LBO. And the conversation really revolved around that shareholder, because at that time I had learned from my colleague, Dan Decelles, that he had been invited to join a car club that was being run by a gentleman named Dan Quealy, that was a partner of the private equity fund. And, that's how the conversation actually started.

Later, my brother, around the late August/early September

time frame -- it was on a visit at home -- had approached me 1 2 And he brought up the topic of United Surgical again. Partners, and said that he had been looking at it, and that 3 the company looked cheap. 4 You know, I think I was really taken back by his comment. 5 And at that point in time, it was very clear to me that my 6 7 brother was looking at United Surgical Partners not for any other reason but as a potential investment. 8 9 And, what happened after Michael told you that USPI looks Q 10 cheap? 11 Um, I agreed with him. In fact, I had had a healthcare 12 services report or a healthcare research report that included 13 healthcare services in my bag, and I had seen that it was 14 their top LBO pick. 15 So I gave my brother that research report, and I said that I learned that USPI was likely going to be acquired by a 16 17 private equity firm. And was that confidential information? 18 0 Yes, it was. 19 Α 20 Was that material information? 0 21 Yes, it was. Α 22 So, were you encouraging him? Q 23 Um, I certainly was. Α 24 Any other confidential information you recall giving to 25 your brother, Michael, at that time about USPI?

- A Um, no, just that that -- the way that I learned about it was that my colleague Dan Decelles was representing the private equity firm that was looking to acquire the company.
- **Q** And, why did you give your brother a research report? What was the purpose of that?
- A Um, the reason -- excuse me. The reason that I gave him the research report was it was on my position, and I thought to myself that in the event that, you know, that it was a way for me to kind of absolve myself of any guilt of confirming that a transaction was ongoing at Citigroup and a way that my brother could potentially get -- a negative situation such as the one I find myself in right now ever arose, that he could have information that could back up that he had done his own research on it.
- **Q** He would have something to point to?
- **A** Yes.

- **Q** As opposed to pointing to you as the source.
- **A** Yes.
 - Q So over what period of time did you provide Michael information about USPI and a possible USPI acquisition?
 - A From that September time frame my brother, you know, persistently and repeatedly called me. And again, I did everything I could to sort of deflect it.
 - And, when he would get me on the phone, I figured the only way to sort of get him off my back was just to say -- not to

take his call, and to tell him that my colleague, Dan 1 2 Decelles, who was working on it was still busy. And by that, I was effectively telling him, "sit tight." 3 So "Dan is still busy" was code for "sit tight"? 4 Q 5 Α Yeah. "Deal's still going on"? 6 0 7 Yes, and I --Α Just taking longer than originally planned? 8 Q 9 Yes. And I did that, really, from September all the way Α 10 through the end of the year, through December. 11 And, what did you think your brother was going to do with 12 that information? 13 I expected he was going to likely trade on it. Α 14 And why did you disclose the confidential information Q about a possible USPI acquisition to your brother? 15 Um, you know, I -- I had gone through a gamut of things in 16 Α 17 my mind about the persistence and the nagging. And, I -- I could see that my brother was going to be relentless, and I 18 was afraid of being detected. So I disclosed USPI to him as 19 20 opposed to other companies that I was working on, to avoid 21 detection. And I did it so I could help him. 22 Q And did you help yourself in that process, too? 23 Α Standing here, sitting here today, I obviously didn't help 24 myself. But I thought I could get him off my back.

The goal at that time --

25

Q

- A The goal at that time was to give me relief from him, and I felt that was the way to do it, was to give it to him. It would benefit him, and benefit me directly.
- Q So you -- you would disclose confidential information to your brother, Michael, about other companies, prior. How did the USPI situation differ from those earlier -- confidential information that you provided?
- A For me, again, naively, in looking back at it, I'm just so embarrassed with myself and my own conduct. And, should have known better. But, I didn't expect him to be trading on the information.

In the case of USPI, I -- I fully expected that he was going to trade on that information. And it was when I gave him -- when I finally gave him the information, it was my intent to benefit him. Before, I had no knowledge he was trading, I had no intention of him having any gains or -- even at that time, I didn't know what he was -- how he was going to benefit from it.

But, just -- USPI, I knowingly, willfully acted to benefit my brother.

- **Q** The two of you had been watching a television show. Was it about USPI?
- A It was about leveraged buyouts. And we were talking about people in my group, and private equity investors, and how much money they made. And the subject of Dan Quealy, who was the

1	partner in that private equity firm, came up. And so the name
2	USPI came out.
3	And he turned around and did his you know, looked it
4	up, and came back to me and said it was cheap. You don't say
5	that unless you're doing real work around a company.
6	Q But you proactively brought up the name USPI?
7	A Yes, I did.
8	Q I want to turn now to another company
9	THE COURT: Let's take a break at this point.
10	MS. DOWLING: Yes.
11	THE COURT: Take another matter. We're going to need
12	a 20-minute break this time, so get back at 3:15.
13	MS. DOWLING: Thank you, Your Honor.
14	THE COURT: Thank you.
15	THE CLERK: All rise for the jury.
16	(Jury excused)
17	(Recess from 2:54 to 3:19 p.m.)
18	(The following proceedings were held in the presence of
19	the Jury)
20	THE CLERK: All rise for the jury.
21	Please be seated.
22	THE COURT: Okay, we are going to resume with the
23	continued direction of Mr. Kara.
24	MS. DOWLING: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you.
25	DIRECT EXAMINATION, RESUMED

BY MS. DOWLING: 1 2 When we left off before the break, we were just going to begin talking about PDL Biopharma. Are you familiar with PDL 3 4 Biopharma? 5 Yes, I am. Α And was that company previously called Protein Design 6 7 Labs? 8 Α Yes, it was. 9 And at some point, it changed its name? Q 10 Α Yes. 11 0 When? Shortly after the acquisition of ESP Pharma, the company 12 13 decided to change its name to PDL Biopharma. 14 And did you do any projects for PDL Biopharma in the Q 2006-2007 time period? 15 16 Α Yes. 17 And you worked on these projects? 0 18 Α Yes. What did you did for PDL Biopharma? 19 Q 20 I think, you know, it was one of my core accounts, and we Α 21 had regular and frequent dialogue with the company about 22 financings -- potential financings, as well as any strategic 23 type of advice. 24 And in that time frame that you are referencing, we at Citigroup became aware that PDL Biopharma could be an 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

attractive target to a slew of different companies, or even activist investors. And we were looking to try to get engaged either by PDL biopharma as a strategic advisor, or potentially by a buyer of the company. So Citigroup was looking at getting involved in both sides of a possible transaction, either representing PDL or representing a possible buyer? Α Yes. If you could turn, please, to Exhibit 344. Take a look at Q that document, and let me know when you've had a chance to go through it. Α Yes. And, do you recognize this document? Q I do. Α 0 What is it? It's an e-mail communication between the head of healthcare M&A by the name of Tryvge Mikkelsen and then the head of the life sciences team, Steven Fisch. And what is this in reference to, Mr. Kara? Q I was planning to meet with the CEO of the company, PDL Biopharma, Mark McDade, in Fremont. And one of the topics that I wanted to open up to him was acting as an adviser if they were approached as a target company. So I was asking the team -- at the same time, our team was

looking to hear from Schering Plough Corporation about the

```
possibility that they may be interested in acquiring PDL
1
 2
       Biopharma. So I was asking my team if I should go ahead with
       meeting Mr. McDade, or if -- I didn't want to create a
 3
 4
       conflict internally between asking to be his advisor and at
 5
       the same time the other team asking to be an adviser for
       Schering Plough.
 6
7
                MS. DOWLING: Your Honor, I would like to move
       Exhibit 344 into evidence.
8
9
                MS. SHIFMAN: Same objection.
10
                THE COURT: Let me make sure -- 344 is the e-mail
11
       from Mikkelsen?
12
                MS. DOWLING: Correct.
13
                THE COURT: And it's dated the 4th, right?
14
                MS. DOWLING: December 4th, 2006.
15
                THE COURT: All right. To Mr. Kara. All right.
       Objection overruled, and 344 is admitted.
16
17
           (Trial Exhibit 344 received in evidence)
18
                MS. DOWLING: Thank you, Your Honor.
19
           (Document displayed)
20
       BY MS. DOWLING:
21
           So Mr. Kara, the first e-mail, December 4th, 2006 e-mail
22
       from Trygve Mikkelsen to you and Mr. Fisch, says:
23
       "Waiting to hear from SPG. Let's decide by lunchtime today."
24
           What is "SPG" in reference to?
25
       Α
           To the pharmaceutical company, Schering Plough
```

1 Corporation. 2 And, is that the other possible company that Citigroup was Q looking at representing in a potential transaction? 3 4 Schering Plough would have been the company Α representing the buyer of PDL Biopharma. 5 Okay. And if we could scroll down, please. 6 0 7 And Mr. Kara, this middle sentence: "should I cancel my meeting with mcdade..." 8 9 Again, who was McDade? 10 Α Mark McDade was the CEO of PDL Biopharma. (Reporter interruption) 11 THE WITNESS: Was the chief executive officer of PDL 12 13 Biopharma. 14 BY MS. DOWLING: You can set that exhibit to the side, please, and I would 15 like you to take from the pile Exhibit 347. 16 17 Tell me when you've had a chance to look at that. (Witness examines document) 18 19 Α Yes. 20 And what is this document, Mr. Kara? 0 21 This is an e-mail communication between me and a gentleman 22 named Leon Kalvaria, who is the global head of healthcare 23 investment banking as well as consumer investment banking at 24 Citigroup. MS. DOWLING: I would like to move Exhibit 347 into 25

evidence, Your Honor. 1 2 MS. SHIFMAN: Continuing objection. THE COURT: All right. Objection overruled, 347 is 3 4 admitted. (Trial Exhibit 347 received in evidence) 5 (Document displayed) 6 7 BY MS. DOWLING: Mr. Kara, can you please explain this e-mail? 8 9 Yes, I can. I was informing the head of the group that I Α 10 was having a meeting in two days from that date, with the CEO 11 of PDL Biopharma. And that I had held off on asking him to represent them as a strategic advisor because of directions 12 13 given to me by Steven Fisch and Trygve Mikkelsen, in that we 14 could be representing Schering Plough as an adviser for the buyer instead of the seller. 15 So at this point, you are asking to be able to continue 16 17 conversations with a company that you covered? That's right. And I'm also telling him now that it's 18 Α clear that it's us working for Schering Plough, I think it is 19 20 important to initiate -- for me to keep the meeting with Mark 21 McDade, and initiate conversations on other topics than just 22 that one assignment of Schering Plough potentially buying 23 them. 24 And did you, in fact, keep that meeting with Mr. McDade? 0

25

Α

I did.

- Q And you can put that exhibit to the side, too, please.

 (Request complied with by the Witness)
 - **Q** Mr. Kara, in the early 2007 time period, did you -- do you recall having any discussions with your brother Michael about a possible acquisition of PDL Biopharma?
 - A Yes.

- Q And what was the nature of those discussions?
- A You know, I was over at my brother's house around this

 February time period. And I think it was specifically around

 a usual visit, where I made it a point to try and stay at home

 and then visit my family.

And my brother saw that I was receiving faxes at his house, and also getting packages for presentation materials related to a meeting with Mark McDade. And he asked me, "Hey, you know, what..." something to the effect of "You look busy."

And I said, "Yeah. Hopefully -- you know, we're in the midst of a transaction with PDLI. The company, you know, may be -- may be interested in selling. Let's see where it goes."

- **Q** And was that confidential information that you imparted to your brother?
- A Yes.
- **Q** Was it non-public information?
- **A** Yes, it was.
- 24 | O And would that have been material information?
- **A** Yes, it was.

I want to move forward now to the March, 2007 time period. 1 Q 2 A company called Biosite. Are you familiar with a company called Biosite? 3 4 Yes. Α 5 And what is it? 0 Biosite is a medical diagnostics company based in the 6 7 United States. And in 2007, was Citigroup performing any work related to 8 9 Biosite? 10 Α Yes. 11 What was Citigroup doing for Biosite? 12 At the time, I didn't know who the buyer was, but I 13 learned that Citigroup was representing a company that was 14 interested in potentially purchasing Biosite. Do you recall who the potential purchaser was? 15 0 I later learned that it was Beckman Coulter. 16 Α 17 And were you personally doing any work on that Biosite/Beckman Coulter deal? 18 19 No, I was not. Α 20 And how did you come to learn that Citigroup was 21 representing Beckman Coulter in a possible acquisition of 22 Biosite? 23 Well, I learned that we were representing a buyer, not 24 necessarily Beckman Coulter, at an officer luncheon. And that 25 was, I believe, on a Monday. And, I was just walking into the

room to gather around the table, and I recall our two group 1 2 heads at that time, Rick Landgarten and Mike Giaquinto 3 sitting. And one of them mentioned that they were looking forward 4 to some potentially large fees associated with the acquisition 5 of Biosite, and that the announcement of the acquisition could 6 7 come as early as next -- next Monday. Show you what's marked as Exhibit 363, if you could locate 8 9 it in the exhibits. And take a look at it, and tell me when 10 you've had a chance to look through it. 11 Α Yes. 12 Q And do you recognize that document? 13 I do. Α 14 And what is that document? Q 15 It's an e-mail communication from the chief operating officer of our group, her name is Susan Francis. And, it was 16 17 sent to healthcare officers, of which I was a member. 18 Reminding us about the healthcare luncheon that I was just researching on Monday, March 19th. 19 20 MS. DOWLING: Your Honor, I offer Exhibit 363 into 21 evidence. 22 MS. SHIFMAN: Continuing objection. 23 THE COURT: All right, objection overruled. 363 is 24 admitted.

(Trial Exhibit 363 received in evidence)

(Document displayed) BY MS. DOWLING: 2 Mr. Kara, this -- thank you. And again, what is the date 3 4 of this e-mail? 5 It's Monday, March 19th, 2007. Α And I see in the To line, it says "HealthCare Officers -6 7 Global." Are you a HealthCare Officer - Global? Yes, I was. 8 Α 9 And you said that this was in reference to the luncheon Q 10 where you overheard a conversation about fees that were going 11 to be generated from a deal with Biosite. Is that correct? 12 Α That's correct. 13 And if we look down at the attendees, if we could expand 14 that, if you don't mind enlarging the confirmed attendees 15 portion, please. (Document displayed) 16 17 You were one of the confirmed attendees at this luncheon? 0 18 Yes, I was there. Α Mr. Kara, after learning of the impending acquisition of 19 20 Biosite, did you discuss Biosite with your brother, Michael 21 Kara? 22 Α I did. 23 And when did that discussion take place? 0 24 Α It took place that Thursday. March 22nd. 25 And where were you at the time? Q

1	A I was in a taxicab in New York.
2	Q And what happened, how did that that conversation come
3	about?
4	A Um, I had received an e-mail from one of the assistants in
5	the office. And, contacting me to let me know that my brother
6	Michael had called. I was on the way to go see a colleague of
7	mine Dan Decelles, in fact who had just recently
8	resigned from Citigroup, and meet him in Soho, which is part
9	of New York, or a little a little area in New York.
10	I picked up the phone, I called my brother back. And, he
11	didn't sound well. He told me that he had been sick, and the
12	reason he hadn't called me in a few days was that he was
13	really ill. He said that my mom wasn't feeling well. And,
14	just sounded very down. He then said that he needed a favor.
15	And, I said, "Okay. What kind of favor?"
16	And he said, "I need some information."
17	And I said something to the effect of "If this is about
18	money, I can give you money."
19	And he said "No, it's not about money. I need
20	information. I owe somebody." And then he said, "Please, I
21	need this. Please, I need this."
22	I paused in the taxi. I think a bunch of things just
23	started going through my head. And, my my immediate
24	reaction was I went through numerous transactions that I was
25	working on, and I was panicking and wondering what my brother

had gotten himself into.

And out of my mouth, I said, "I -- I think a company called Biosite -- I learned a company called Biosite may be acquired as early as next week."

- **Q** And, why did you choose to give Michael information about Biosite when he asked you for information, as opposed to another company that you were working on?
- A As I had mentioned, I think it was just such a sudden and unexpected situation that when I realized he needed this information, and he wouldn't agree that it was an issue about money, that I -- I went through my head, and I said I can't -- I didn't want to tell him about the biotechnology transactions that I was working on.

And I ended up telling him about Biosite because I wanted to reduce the likelihood that by giving him insider information, which if we ever got caught -- which we did -- that it could ever be attributed back to me.

- **Q** And after you gave him the information that there was an impending acquisition of Biosite, what happened next?
- A I was in the taxi. And it just happened so fast, it
 was -- I told him I needed to call him back. I paid the taxi.
 I called my brother back. I was pacing up and down the
 street. And, I just remember it as clear as yesterday.

And I told him, "Please, what I just did was wrong. It was illegal. Do not act on this. And do not give this

```
1
       information to anyone. And if you do, I believe that the
 2
       Securities and Exchange Commission" -- "the SEC," I said --
       "is tracing -- will trace this phone call." And that, "We
 3
 4
       will get into a lot of trouble."
 5
           And what did your brother say in response?
       0
           He said "Don't worry."
 6
       Α
7
           And what did you think your brother was going to do with
       the information you had given him about Biosite?
8
9
           He was going to trade on it.
       Α
10
           I would like to show you now what's been marked as Exhibit
11
       372.
12
           (Witness examines document)
13
       Α
           Yes.
14
           Do you recognize that document?
       Q
15
       Α
           I do, ma'am. Sorry.
16
           And what is it?
       Q
17
           This is a copy of my Cingular wireless telephone records
18
       for my phone number while I was in New York. My cellphone
19
      number.
20
                MS. DOWLING: Your Honor, offer Exhibit 372 into
21
       evidence.
22
                MS. SHIFMAN: No objection, Your Honor.
23
                THE COURT: All right, 372 is admitted.
24
           (Trial Exhibit 372 received in evidence)
25
                MS. DOWLING: If we could look at the first page,
```

```
1
       please.
 2
           (Document displayed)
 3
       BY MS. DOWLING:
 4
           Do you see the number listed here, Mr. Kara?
       Q
 5
       Α
           Yes, I do.
 6
           Was that your cell phone number?
       0
7
           Yes, it was.
       Α
 8
       Q
           If you could turn now to Page 34 in that exhibit.
9
           (Request complied with by the Witness)
10
       Α
           Yes, ma'am.
11
           Do you see in the lower portion of this -- if you could
12
       enlarge calls 161 through 170.
13
           (Document displayed)
14
           Mr. Kara, are you able to identify the phone calls you
       Q
15
       just testified to between you and your brother, Michael, where
       you disclosed the Biosite information?
16
17
           Yes, I am, ma'am.
       Α
18
           And where are those?
       0
           In that far left column, they are Nos., Line No. 167 and
19
20
             Those are the two phone calls that I just referenced.
       168.
21
           And what times were these phone calls made?
       Q
22
       Α
           It looks like the first phone call was at 3:43 p.m.
23
       New York time. East Coast.
24
           And who to what number was that call made?
       0
25
           That was to my brother's cell phone, which was
       Α
```

- 707-567-2202. 1 2 And the second call? Q It was at 3:49. And it was also to 707-567-2202. 3 Α 4 And how is it that you are able to remember this so 0 5 clearly, Mr. Kara? This is -- this has been a nightmare that I have relived 6 7 every day of my life for the last six years. I wish I could take it all back. But I remember that conversation like it 8 9 was yesterday. 10 Why did you choose to provide your brother with confidential information about Biosite? 11 Terrible judgment, and it was an issue of panic. 12 13 know what my brother was involved in, when I offered him money 14 and he said it wasn't about money and he didn't need money. didn't know what he had done. And, he said he needed 15 information. And, that's what I gave him. 16 17 If you could set that exhibit to the side, please. 0 18 (Request complied with by the Witness) Turn now to Exhibit 371. 19 Q 20 (Request complied with by the Witness) 21 Take a look at it, and let me know if you recognize this Q 22 document. 23 Yes, ma'am. Α
- 25 **A** The original e-mail, ma'am, came from Henry Schwake to my

What is this document?

24

Case 3:11-cr-00625-EMC Document 233 Filed 09/22/13 Page 111 of 151 KARA - DIRECT EXAMINATION / DOWLING

colleague in Citigroup. To healthcare managing directors as 1 2 well as healthcare directors, of which I was, at that time. And it was Henry announcing to the group that we 3 4 represented Beckman Coulter in their acquisition of Biosite. What is the date of that e-mail and announcement? 5 0 It was Sunday, March 25th, 2007. 6 7 And how does that time period relate to when you told your Q brother about the Biosite acquisition? 8 9 That was three days after I had told him, the acquisition. Α 10 I learned of it -- or told him on March 22nd. And was this a sizeable deal? 11 0 12 Α Yes, it was. 13 And before you had received this e-mail (Indicating), 14 about the transaction, were you aware of the size of the transaction? 15 16 No, I was not. Α 17 But you were aware that Citigroup would be obtaining fees 18 from the transaction? 19 Yes, I was aware that there were going to be significant 20 fees associated with it. 21 Thank you. You can set that exhibit to the side, please. Q 22 (Request complied with by the Witness) 23 I want to turn your attention now to another company, 24 called Alexion. Are you familiar with Alexion 25 Pharmaceuticals?

Α Yes, I am.

1

8

9

- 2 And what is Alexion? Q
- Alexion was a biopharmaceutical company, based in the 3 Α 4 United States.
- 5 And in 2007, was Citigroup performing work relating to Alexion? 6
- 7 Α Yes, we were.
 - And were you personally involved in the work Citigroup was doing with Alexion?
- 10 Α Yes, I was.
- 11 And what work was Citigroup doing for Alexion?
- 12 We were representing a Danish pharmaceutical company, a 13 very large one, called Novo Nordisk. And we were acting as 14 their advisor in looking at either a contemplated acquisition 15 of the company, or a very large equity investment into Alexion Pharmaceuticals. 16
- If you could locate Exhibit 375, please. Let me know when 18 you have had a chance to look at it.
- 19 (Request complied with by the Witness)
- 20 Yes, ma'am. Α
- 21 Do you recognize this document? Q
- 22 Α I do.
- 23 Does this document relate to the transaction you were just 24 telling us about?
- 25 Α Yes.

1	Q What is this document?			
2	A This is what's known as a in the acronym, NBM. That			
3	stands for a new business memorandum. And it's about a			
4	project called Project Alex, which refers to Alexion.			
5	And, it was letting the control group and letting the deal			
6	team know that a transaction had been registered where			
7	Citigroup had been mandated as an adviser for Novo Nordisk, to			
8	act on their behalf with respect to a counterpart known as			
9	Alexion Pharmaceuticals.			
10	MS. DOWLING: Your Honor, I would like to move			
11	Exhibit 375 into evidence, please.			
12	MS. SHIFMAN: Same objection.			
13	THE COURT: Overruled. No. 375 is admitted.			
14	(Trial Exhibit 375 received in evidence)			
15	(Document displayed)			
16	BY MS. DOWLING:			
17	Q Mr. Kara, what is the date of this e-mail?			
18	A This one is February 21st, 2007.			
19	Q And could you show us in the middle of the page here where			
20	it says it says "Project Code Name"?			
21	"Project Alex," was that the code name for this			
22	transaction?			
23	A Yes, it was.			
24	Q And would information about this transaction have been			
25	confidential information?			

ſ	1				
1	A	Yes.			
2	Q	And material information?			
3	A	Yes, it was.			
4	Q	Non-public information?			
5	A	Yes, it was.			
6	Q	Do you recall having any conversations about this			
7	tra	transaction with your brother?			
8	A	No, I don't.			
9	Q	As you sit here today, could you rule out the possibility			
10	that you had conversations about Alexion with your brother?				
11	A	No, I can't.			
12	Q	You can set that exhibit aside, please.			
13		(Request complied with by the Witness)			
14	Q	Mr. Kara, at some point did you come to learn that the			
15	Sec	Securities and Exchange Commission or SEC was investigating			
16	possible insider trading in Biosite and other securities?				
17	A	Yes, I did.			
18	Q	And, how did you learn about the SEC investigation?			
19	A	I learned about it from my brother, initially. He called			
20	me	on April 30th of 2007. And the reason that I can remember			
21	tha	t date, it's my wife's birthday. And we had just come back			
22	hom	home from dinner. And, my brother called. He sounded pretty			
23	sha	ken up.			
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

and that they had asked him about Biosite, Andrx and USPI.

And, he told me that he had received a call from the SEC,

24

That he had told them that he did not get stock information about those companies from me. That they had asked him whether or not we shared confidential material non-public information. He said no.

And then he also started to name other people that the SEC asked about. As soon as he started telling me the names of other people, I stopped him. I told him I didn't want to hear about it, any more. And I said something like, "What the F were you" -- expletive -- "were you thinking?"

- **Q** And after the call with your brother, did the SEC contact you as well?
- **A** Yes, they did.

- **Q** And when, approximately, was that?
- 14 A The SEC called me the next day.
- **Q** And what happened?
 - A I answered the phone. And, they began asking me questions about Biosite, USPI, Andrx and other companies. And, they also asked me about my relationship with these other people.

 And I made numerous false statements to the SEC.
 - **Q** What are some examples of the false statements that you made to the SEC?
 - A They asked me whether or not I told my brother about a company called Biosite. I said no. That was false.
 - They asked if I had shared material non-public information with my brother about Citigroup clients. I said no. That was

false.

I said -- they asked me if I had told my brother about a company called USPI. I said no. That was false.

They asked me if I had shared information about Andrx Corporation with my brother. I said no. And that was false.

They also asked me if I had learned about a transaction related to Biosite. And I said "Not until after the deal was announced." That was false.

And I made numerous other false statements that I can go through with you and with the jury and with Judge Chen.

- Q Mr. Kara, why did you lie to the SEC when they called you?
- A Um, I was terrified. My wife was nine months pregnant with our first child. And, Grace was born on May 7th, so six days after that phone call. I was scared of the consequences on my family.

I didn't know what my brother had done with respect to the other people. Nor the involvement of others. I didn't even know about the majority of the trading that they were asking me about. And, I was worried about my career and worried about going to jail.

- **Q** And, after this call with the SEC, did you tell Mr. Bassam Salman that the SEC had contacted you?
- A Yes, I did.
- **Q** What did you tell him?
- A Um, I told -- it was around, you know, about a month

after, or -- that I had been contacted. So, a few weeks 1 2 after. And I confided in Mister -- with Bassam that I had received a call from the SEC, and that they had asked me about 3 my involvement with insider trading, and they had asked me a 4 5 bunch of questions about people that I didn't know about their involvement. And, that I was really worried about my career. 6 7 And what was Mr. Bassam Salman's reaction? He was concerned. He put his hands in his pocket and 8 9 said, "Oh, my gosh, oh, my gosh," and began pacing back and 10 forth. 11 Mr. Kara, were you aware that your brother Michael was 12 providing the material non-public information that you 13 provided him, to other people? 14 Α No. And were you aware that your brother was giving that 15 0 information to Mr. Bassam Salman (Indicating)? 16 17 Α No. 18 Mr. Kara, was there a period of time during which you 19 denied your wrongdoing to the government? 20 Absolutely. Α 21 Starting with that SEC call? Q 22 I was denying it to myself, as well. Not just the Α 23 government. Yes. 24 But it didn't end with that second call, right? 0

25

Α

No.

	Milai bittle Damini Milotty bowling			
1	Q It continued for a time period. Right?			
2	A It continued for a couple of years. Until after the			
3	indictment.			
4	MS. DOWLING: Thank you, Mr. Kara. No further			
5	questions at this time.			
6	THE COURT: All right. Cross-examination?			
7	MS. SHIFMAN: Thank you, Your Honor. I just need a			
8	minute to set up.			
9	THE COURT: Certainly.			
10	(Off-the-Record discussion between counsel)			
11	MS. DOWLING: One more question, Your Honor, sorry.			
12	THE COURT: All right.			
13	BY MS. DOWLING:			
14	Q Mr. Kara, what was the date of that indictment that you			
15	referenced?			
16	A I believe, ma'am, I was indicted I hate to say this			
17	I think on my wife's birthday in on or around April 30th of			
18	2009.			
19	Q So, approximately two years after that phone call with the			
20	SEC.			
21	A Yes, ma'am.			
22	MS. DOWLING: Thank you.			
23	THE COURT: Okay.			
24				
25				

1 CROSS EXAMINATION 2 BY MS. SHIFMAN: 3 Q Good afternoon, Mr. Kara. 4 Hi. Α You will notice to your left there is a binder, with a 5 little yellow on the side, in the binder sleeve. 6 7 Α Yes. 8 I have no lovely Maryam at my table who can put things 9 magically on the screen, so we're doing it the old-fashioned 10 way with binders and paper. So, I may refer you to various 11 documents in there. And I would -- when I do, I would just 12 ask that you go to the exhibit tab. 13 Α Yes, ma'am. 14 Okay. I want to sort of step back, and go back a little Q 15 bit to the beginning, and talk to you about your educational 16 background. 17 You -- you attended UC Berkeley. Correct? 18 Α Yes. 19 And, you graduated in '93 with a degree in business from 20 their Haas School. 21 Α That's correct. 22 And while you were at Berkeley, you interned at a Q 23 number -- several big-name companies. 24 Α Yes, I did.

25

Q

Chevron was one?

1 Α Yes. 2 Pac Bell was another? Q 3 Α Yes. 4 Coopers and Lybrand? Q 5 Α Yes. 6 And you received job offers from all three of those 7 companies. 8 Α Yes. 9 And after you got your bachelor's degree, you went to get Q 10 your master's. Is that what you said? 11 Yes, ma'am. 12 And you did that at the University of Chicago, and you 13 went there in -- you graduated, excuse me, in 1998. 14 That's correct. Α 15 Q And you studied there for two years. 16 Α Yes. 17 From '96 to '98. 0 18 Α Yes. And, the University of Chicago is a -- is known as one of 19 20 the best business schools in America. Is that fair to say? 21 Α Yes. 22 All right. It's ranked by Bloomberg Business Week as Q 23 number one, and there's always jostling for that first

24

25

position?

Α

Yes.

- Q And it's known for being a school that has a lot of empirical research and studies in the capital markets?
- 3 A That's correct.
- Q And the capital markets are a part of our financial system
 that deal with the shares and equity-backed security bonds and
 long-term investments. Is that fair to say?
 - A I -- I don't know equity-backed securities bonds, but it is a school with a strong emphasis in corporate finance, yes, ma'am.
 - **Q** Correct. And, a research emphasis on that.
- 11 **A** Yes.

8

9

- 12 **Q** And, it's a very difficult school to get into. It's competitive.
- 14 A Are you -- are you asking me? I'm sorry.
- 15 **Q** I am asking you.
- 16 **A** Yes. It was competitive.
- Q And once you're in the school, it's also very competitive amongst your other students. All the other students.
- 19 **A** Yes.
- 20 **Q** It's a prestigious school.
- 21 **A** Again, if you are asking me, I felt it was, yes.
- 22 | Q When I'm talking, usually I'll be asking questions,
- 23 | because I'll ask questions, you'll do the answers. Okay?
- 24 **A** Yes.
- 25 **Q** Okay. So as part of your curriculum at the University of

- Chicago, you took classes to learn about the capital market
 system and capital markets.
- 3 A Yes, I did.
- 4 Q And you learned how stocks work.
- 5 **| A** Yes.
- 6 Q Options.
- 7 **A** Yes.
- 8 **Q** Puts.
- 9 **A** Yes.
- 10 **Q** And while attending school at the University of Chicago,
- 11 you also earned and took part in some impressive internships.
- 12 **A** Yes.
- 13 **Q** For example, you interned in the leveraged finance group
- 14 at Chase Bank.
- 15 **A** Yes.
- 16 **Q** While you were there getting your MBA at the University of
- 17 Chicago, you chose a concentration for your studies. Is that
- 18 correct?
- 19 **A** That's right.
- 20 **Q** And, in my little research, they seemed to have 14
- 21 different areas of concentration. Like, economics, accounting
- 22 or finance, those would be degrees or areas of concentration.
- 23 | Correct?
- 24 A Yes, ma'am.
- 25 **Q** What was your area of concentration?

- **A** Finance and corporate strategy.
- 3 strategy, business ethics came up on the -- in the various
- 4 lectures from your professors. Is that correct?
 - A Yes.

- 6 Q And, business ethics is sometimes the subject of
- 7 University of Chicago publications.
- 9 they have been.
- 10 Q And, as part of your education while at the University of
- 11 Chicago, you learned about insider trading.
- 12 **A** I likely did. Yes.
- 13 **Q** And, do you remember, do you recall anything that they
- 14 told you about insider trading while you were studying?
- 15 **A** I don't recall anything specific, ma'am, but it would have
- been definitely supplemented by the training that I got at
- 17 Citigroup.
- 18 **Q** Okay. Would it be fair to say that while you were in
- 19 school, they would have told you and lectured upon the
- 20 distinction between trading on information that was okay to do
- 21 and trading on information that was criminal?
- 22 **A** Probably, yes.
- 23 **Q** And, you learned about the consequences of insider trading
- 24 back as far as somewhere in '96 to '98.
- 25 **A** Yes.

- Q And after graduating with your MBA, you went to work at Solomon Smith Barney.
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q And I think you said that it later became part of
- 5 Citigroup?
- 6 A Yes, ma'am.
- 7 **Q** And eventually you landed in the tech group within
- 8 Citigroup?
- 9 A That's correct.
- 10 **Q** And you did very well while you were working in the tech
- 11 group.
- 12 **| A** I did.
- 13 **Q** You became the highest-ranking associate in your office.
- 14 **A** I did.
- 15 Q And as the most successful associate, even at that level,
- 16 they trained you right out of the box on what your job was.
- 17 **A** Yes, ma'am.
- 18 Q And, you learned what rules that you needed to follow.
- 19 **A** That's correct.
- 20 **Q** You learned the duty of confidentiality you had to your
- 21 clients.
- 22 **A** That's right.
- 23 **Q** To your employer.
- 24 **A** Yes.
- 25 **Q** You learned why the duty of confidentiality was important.

- **A** That's correct.
- Q And you learned what could happen if those duties were breached.
- 4 A Yes.

- 5 Q You learned about the harm that could come to clients.
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 **Q** You learned about the harm that could come to the employer.
- 9 **A** Yes.
- 10 **Q** And, you learned about the harm that could potentially result in the capital markets, if the rules were breached.
- 12 **A** Yes.

18

- 13 **Q** Your employer made sure that you understood the significance of your duty of confidentiality.
- 15 **A** Yes, they did.
- Q That the significance was, in part, to protect those business interests so that it didn't impact the capital
- 19 **A** That's correct.

markets.

- 20 **Q** You attended training courses while at Citi after the
 21 initial training when you came in the door, you said that was
 22 six weeks?
- 23 **A** Yes, ma'am, I believe the summer training was somewhere
 24 between six and eight weeks, before we actually got our formal
 25 first assignments.

- Q So that was a very intensive training in your duties of confidentiality and the rules of play.
 - A Just so I can be clear, the six weeks were not just focused on that, but there was definitely a segment, a significant segment of that where there were probably a couple of days spent on how to treat confidential information.
 - **Q** After you graduated the intensive study, you had at least annual updates for how to handle confidential information.
 - A Yes, ma'am.

- **Q** You learned while on the job, as well, about the law of insider trading.
- **A** That's right.
 - Q You received training, both in your day-to-day activities and in the intensive training classes.
 - **A** Yes, ma'am.
 - Q I would like to shift a little bit and ask you some other questions about your impressive background. Up until the time period in question that resulted into your testifying here.
 - And, up until this point, you've never had any problems with the law.
- **A** No, ma'am.
- **Q** You have never been arrested?
- **A** No, ma'am.
- **Q** Never been convicted of a crime?
- **A** No, ma'am.

- Q And, similarly, you never had any disciplinary problems while you went to school.
- 3 **A** No.
- 4 Q Never in university, at Berkeley?
- 5 **A** No.
- 6 **Q** Not at the University of Chicago in your MBA program?
- 7 **A** No.
- 8 **Q** Never accused of plagiarism?
- 9 **A** No.
- 10 **Q** Never accused of cheating.
- 11 **A** No.
- 12 **Q** Or acting unethically in any of your courses or classes?
- 13 **A** No.
- 14 **Q** You never had a reputation for doing anything like that.
- 15 **A** I don't know what other people said about me, but I wasn't
- aware if that was my reputation or not. I thought I had a
- 17 clean reputation.
- 18 Q Because everything you had done had been clean.
- 19 **A** I thought so.
- 20 **Q** By the books.
- 21 **A** I thought so.
- 22 | Q Before you breached your duties to your employer,
- Citigroup, you never breached your duties to any other
- employer.
- 25 **A** No, ma'am.

- Q You had always been a good worker?A I tried.
- 3 Q You never had any disciplinary problems while at your 4 previous jobs?
 - A Not that I was aware of. Not --
- Q If you had done anything wrong, do you think you would know?
- 8 A Yeah, I think I would have known.
- 9 **Q** Okay.

- 10 **A** Okay.
- 11 **Q** You always had good performance reviews?
- 12 **A** Generally, yes, ma'am.
- 13 **Q** And, after achieving your master's at -- you worked for
- 14 how many companies before you ended up at Smith
- 15 Barney/Citigroup?
- 16 **A** In which time period are you asking about, ma'am?
- 17 **Q** After you got your MBA.
- A Yeah, I only had worked as an intern at Chase Securities
 in New York before I received my full-time offer from Solomon
- 20 Smith Barney.
- 21 Q But from the time you left Berkeley through your
- 22 | employment at Citigroup, if you weren't in full-time school,
- 23 you'd always been working. Correct?
- 24 **A** Well, yes. As I mentioned before, I started at Coopers
- and Lybrand, in their tax consulting group. That was my only

- 1 employer after college and through my MBA.
- 2 **Q** You never left any employment because you were fired from
- a previous company.
- 4 A No, ma'am.
- 5 Q And before what did in this case, you always had good
- 6 references from your previous employers.
- 7 **A** Yes.
- 8 Q Your employers trusted you?
- 9 A Yes, they did. I hope so.
- 10 **Q** Citigroup trusted you?
- 11 **A** Yes, they did.
- 12 **Q** Your colleagues trusted you?
- 13 A Yes, they did.
- 14 Q Your clients trusted you.
- 15 **A** Yes.
- 16 | Q So, you've never had any problems getting a job. Up to
- 17 | this point.
- 18 A Up to this point.
- 19 Q A better job, if you wanted it.
- 20 A Yes, ma'am.
- 21 **Q** It's fair to say before what you did in this case, your
- 22 career was on an upward trajectory.
- 23 **A** Yes.
- 24 **Q** You were a rising star.
- 25 **A** I had my ups and downs, but yeah, when I left Citigroup,

- 1 ma'am, I was going to a very good opportunity.
- 3 rising star.
- 4 A Thank you for saying that. I'll take the compliment.
- Q Your reputation in your family was that you were a rising star.
- 7 **A** Yeah.
- 8 **Q** And you felt they were proud of you for everything you had
- 9 done.
- 10 **A** Yep.
- 11 **Q** When you met Susie in 2002, she was a medical student at
- 12 the University of Chicago?
- 13 **A** Uh, yes.
- 14 Q That's also a very prestigious medical school.
- 15 **A** She's an extremely brilliant woman.
- 16 Q And, she finished school and is now a pediatrician.
- 17 **A** Yes.
- 18 **Q** She's hard-working?
- 19 **A** Extremely.
- 20 **Q** Beautiful?
- 21 **A** Yes.
- 22 **Q** Loving?
- 23 **A** Uh-huh.
- 24 **Q** You got engaged in June of 2003.
- 25 **A** Yes.

- 1 Q And you married in July of 2005.
- 2 **A** That's right.
- 3 **Q** You introduced your families to each other before you
- 4 married, because that was important to you.
- 5 **A** Yes.
- 6 Q You wanted them to get a chance to get to know each other.
- 7 **A** That's -- yes.
- 8 **Q** Build a connection, if that was possible, with each other.
- 9 **A** Yes.
- 10 **Q** That your families are connected is important, not just
- 11 sort of for this cultural reason, but because it's important
- 12 to you.
- 13 **A** Yes.
- 14 Q And it's important to your wife, Susie.
- 15 **A** Absolutely.
- 16 Q So, you had a chance to meet with Susie's family?
- 17 A Yes, I did.
- 18 **Q** And, you had a chance to meet with Sam (Indicating)?
- 19 **A** Yes.
- 20 Q And Susie's family got to know you.
- 21 **A** Yes.
- 22 **Q** That was the purpose. You were the main purpose, since
- 23 you were interested in Susie, potentially marrying Susie.
- 24 **A** Yes.
- 25 **Q** It was a serious relationship.

- **A** Right away.
- 2 **Q** And, you wanted them to know what kind of man you are.
- 3 A Um, yes, I wanted them to understand who they could be
- 4 considering allowing their sister to get married to.
 - **Q** You wanted them to know that you were hard-working?
- 6 **A** Yes.

- 7 **Q** That you were held in high regard?
- 8 A Uh, yeah, I think so.
- 9 Q That you were trustworthy.
- 10 **A** Yes.
- 11 Q It's fair to say that you wanted Susie's family to accept
- 12 you.
- 13 **A** Absolutely.
- 14 **Q** And to accept your family.
- 15 **A** Yes.
- 16 Q You introduced your own brother, Mounir, Michael Kara, to
- 17 Susie's family.
- 18 A Yes, I did.
- 19 **Q** Do you call your brother "Michael," or "Mounir"?
- 20 | A Um, I haven't spoken to him in four years, but I don't
- 21 know what I would say -- whatever you're comfortable with, I'm
- 22 happy to call him.
- 23 **Q** When you spoke to him on a regular basis.
- 24 A Yeah, I call him "Mounir."
- 25 Q "Mounir." So I'm going to call him "Mounir" too, if

- 1 that's all right with you.
- 2 A Okay.
- Q Okay. You introduced Mounir to Susie's brothers. To
- 4 Ghazy, the elder brother. Right?
- 5 **| A** Yes.
- 6 O And to Sam as well.
- 7 **A** Yes.
- 8 **Q** And, in your presence, Sam Salman and your brother Mounir
- 9 had a chance to meet.
- 10 **A** Yes.
- 11 **Q** The first time they met was in May of 2002, when Sam
- 12 accompanied Susie and a few other family members out here to
- 13 California.
- 14 **A** That -- that sounds about right.
- 15 **Q** And they lived in the Chicago area, so they flew out here
- 16 to the Bay area.
- 17 A Yes, ma'am.
- 18 Q And it was a quick visit. Two, two days, maybe two and a
- 19 half days?
- 20 **A** I think that's right.
- 21 **Q** But the families, though you wanted them to really spend
- 22 some time and get to know each other, they lived in different
- 23 cities.
- 24 Absolutely.
- 25 Q And Michael lived here in California (Indicating), Sam

- 1 lived in Chicago. Is that correct?
- 2 **A** That's correct.
- 3 Q And they saw each other only occasionally.
- 4 **A** As far as I knew, yes.
- Q And in fact, before your engagement, only that once in May of 2002.
- 7 A Um, I can't confirm it, ma'am. That could be very well 8 true.
- 9 Q And usually, when --
- 10 **A** I'm just trying to think of the different times that -- in between the engagement. I recall my mom and my dad coming out to Chicago as well on a separate, separate trip.
- 13 Q Okay. But right now, I'm just talking about Mounir.
- 14 A Yes, ma'am. Okay.
- Q Okay? Usually when Mounir would be in the presence of Sam, you would also be present, in those early days.
- A Yeah, initially, yes. And then Susie and I would try to go have some private time to talk.
- Q And, when you had your engagement party, that -- I think that was in June of 2003.
- 21 **A** Yes.
- 22 **Q** That was in Chicago?
- 23 **A** Yes.
- 24 **Q** Or in the Chicago area.
- 25 **A** Yes.

- **Q** Sam was there (Indicating)?
- 2 A Yes.

4

8

9

10

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- 3 **Q** But your brother Mounir wasn't.
 - **A** No, he was not.
- Decause he had fallen -- he had been -- you came to
 understand he had been pushed off a ladder, and he had broken
 some bones in his back.
 - A Yeah, I knew he had an injured back, yes. Yes. And that's -- and that's what I understood from him and his wife as to why they didn't come.
 - **Q** Because he had been pushed off a ladder.
- 12 **A** Yes, ma'am.
- Q And, something about an Asian gang member pushed him off ladder?
 - A I think that was something that I learned later, but at the time of the actual accident and around then, I think his wife called me and told me that he -- he had hurt his back through a fall. I think I later learned about what you are describing.
 - Q And, that pushing off a ladder by an Asian gang member, you came to learn that, in fact, isn't what happened.
 - **A** That's correct.
- 23 **Q** That he took a fall, and claimed that's what happened.
- 24 **A** That's correct.
- 25 **Q** That he maybe wasn't in -- of sound mind when he made that

claim. 1 2 Α I --MS. DOWLING: Objection, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Overruled. He can answer the question, 4 if he understands it. 5 THE WITNESS: I -- I don't know, ma'am, what a sound 6 7 mind is. And again --BY MS. SHIFMAN: 8 9 That is fair. That's so fair. Okay. 0 10 And I also, again, had learned it not from my brother, but 11 from another family member. So I was hearing things 12 thirdhand. 13 Yes. So you came to learn that he was having a delusion 14 when he made that claim. That's not how it was stated to me, but yeah. 15 Α That he thought he was pushed off a ladder by an Asian gang. 16 17 And, let's go back to that engagement party. Sam got to 18 see your family -- Mounir in particular is what I'm referring 19 to right now -- maybe one time during the year. Isn't that 20 correct? 21 It could be right, yes, ma'am. Α 22 0 Once in 2002? 23 Um, that's the only visit that I -- I personally recall 24 Susie's family coming to, yes. And I don't recall my brother 25 going to Chicago. I'm not sure.

Q Maybe once in 2003?

- 2 | A I'm not -- I don't know. I don't remember it.
- 3 Q Okay. And maybe once in 2004.
- 4 A Um, I think more than once in 2004. Because I recall the
- family coming out when my dad was ill, to check on my dad.
- 6 And then, later at both the funeral services, which, I don't
- 7 believe Sam was able to attend the funeral, but I do believe
- 8 | later was able to attend the memorial service.
- 9 Q And when parts of Susie -- members of Susie's family came
- 10 to visit your -- your ill father, that was Ghazy and Susie.
- 11 And Rose, Ghazy's mother. Was it not?
- 12 **A** Definitely I remember Tiger being there, yes. And Susie
- 13 and her mom. I'm not -- I am not sure if Sam came or not.
- 14 And forgive me, ma'am, because I was living in New York, and
- 15 was flying to see my dad on weekends and taking red-eyes back
- on Sunday night to get back to work on Monday.
- 17 Q Sure. Your brother Michael wasn't always there when you
- 18 were with Susie's family.
- 19 **A** I'm sorry?
- 20 Q I'll repeat it.
- 21 **A** Yes.
- 22 **Q** Your brother Michael wasn't always there when you were
- with Susie's family.
- 24 A No. That's correct.
- 25 **Q** And Sam wasn't always there when family members from the

- 1 two sides were together.
- 2 **A** That's true.
- Q It's not easy when families live in different parts of the country, different sides of the country, to get together.
- 5 **A** That's correct.
- 6 Q Takes time and money for the travel for the visits?
- 7 **A** Yes.
- 8 Q Your families did their best to try to get to know each 9 other.
- 10 **A** That's correct.
- 11 **Q** Is it fair to say that if you had been living in the same 12 community, that the families would have -- visits would have
- 13 been more regular?
- 14 A I -- I would hope so.
- Q And they would have gotten to know each other much more deeply and intently.
- 17 **A** Yes.
- Q Your wife, Susie, when she learned of what happened here, she was shocked.
- 20 **A** Yes.
- 21 **Q** She was shocked that you would breach your duties in the 22 way that you did.
- 23 **A** Yes.
- 24 Q Because you had never done anything like that before.
- 25 **A** That's correct.

- 1 Q Because that's not who you held yourself out to be.
- 2 A That's not who I was. That's correct.
- 3 **Q** You got to know her family during your courtship.
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 **Q** You got along with them?
- 6 A Loved them very much.
- 7 **Q** You felt like they liked or loved you?
- 8 **A** They are the most welcoming people that I have ever met.
- 9 **Q** They -- it seemed to you that they believed you were a good match for Susie.
- 11 **A** Yes.
- 12 **Q** That you were a catch, as they say.
- 13 **A** She's a catch, too. I was -- I was more lucky, to get
- 14 her.
- 15 **Q** You were well-educated?
- 16 **A** Yes.
- 17 Q Could provide for her and any children you might have.
- 18 **A** Yes.
- 19 **Q** You loved her.
- 20 A Very much.
- 21 **Q** So, Susie's family loved you, in turn.
- 22 **A** Yes.
- 23 Q They entrusted her to you.
- 24 **A** Yes.
- 25 Q Her brother, on the day of your wedding, literally walked

1 her up to give her to you. 2 Α Yes. 3 Her oldest brother acted as sort of the father of the Q 4 family because their father had died? 5 Α Yes. 6 And Sam walked Susie up the steps in the church to marry 7 you. 8 Α Yes. 9 He was happy for Susie. Q 10 Α Yes. 11 Q He was happy --12 Α I hope so. 13 0 He was happy for you. 14 Α I hope so. Yes. 15 Q You believe they approved of you. 16 Α Yes. 17 You -- they trusted that you would be a good addition to Q 18 their family. 19 Α Yes. 20 You had worked hard to get to where you were. Q 21 Α Yes. 22 Q You were reliable? 23 Α Yes. 24 Q Susie relied on you.

25

Α

She did.

- You took care of her. 1 Q 2 Α Yes. 3 You'd take care of their children. You promised. Q 4 Yes. Α 5 Your family relied on you. Q 6 Α Yes. 7 Q They trusted you. 8 Α Yes. 9 You disappointed them, by doing this. Q 10 Α Absolutely. 11 Q Everybody. 12 Α Myself, most. 13 When you revealed that information to your brother, you 0 14 breached Citigroup's trust. I did. 15 Α You breached your clients' trust. 16 Q
- 17 A I sure did.
- 18 **Q** You breached your colleagues' trust.
- 19 **A** Yep.
- 20 Q And you breached your family's trust.
- 21 **A** Yes.
- 22 | Q I want to ask you about your brother, Mounir. I know this
- is not easy. I know there's a long history.
- In 1999, he was diagnosed with Bipolar I disorder. Is
- 25 that correct?

- 1 A Um, I believe that's the right time, ma'am, yeah.
- 2 **Q** But he wasn't well long before that diagnosis.
- 3 A That's correct.
- 4 Q You have early memories of him being unwell.
 - A Yes.

12

- 6 Q He's ten, ten and a half years older than you?
- 7 **A** That's correct.
- 9 Your memories of him being unwell began when maybe you were like a preteen, 13 years old?
- 10 **A** Yeah, yes. I think any time from that -- ten-year-old,
- eleven, twelve and beyond. Even -- even eight or nine. Yeah.

So, Mounir was a late teenager, 20 years old, and

- 13 you recall him having serious difficulties.
- 14 **A** Yes.
- 15 | Q His illness manifested itself in many different ways.
- 16 **A** Yes.
- 17 **Q** Sometimes, he would act paranoid?
- A Um, it's tough to generalize about that, ma'am. There
 were times where he began to act paranoid. I didn't see that
- 20 initially, in him. Again, I was young.
- I felt the description of what you are describing,
- paranoid, sort of evolved when I was more in the late college
- 23 time frame.
- 24 **Q** All right.
- 25 **A** So I was in my twenties, he was in his thirties.

1 Q So it progressed over time. 2 Α Yes. 3 Q Got more severe. 4 Α Yes. 5 Q More active. More present. I think more present, yeah. 6 Α 7 Okay. More present. You -- sometimes he had delusions? Q Um, you know, from what I had heard from other family 8 Α 9 members, yes. 10 And, those delusions also progressed and got worse over 11 time. I think, as -- from what I understood from his wife, 12 13 because that's who I'd hear about the delusions from, they 14 progressed as a result of many of the medications he was on. 15 MS. DOWLING: Your Honor, I object, on hearsay. 16 THE COURT: Sustained. I'm going to strike that 17 comment from the Record. 18 BY MS. SHIFMAN: He's been suicidal? 19 Q 20 Α Yes. 21 Have you seen him in a delusion -- when he's been in the Q 22 midst of a delusion? 23 Α No. 24 Has he talked to you about some delusional beliefs? 0 25 MS. DOWLING: Objection, Your Honor, hearsay.

П

1	THE COURT: Overruled.				
2	THE WITNESS: Um, I'm thinking of the different				
3	incidents, ma'am, in my mind. The bugging incident, he never				
4	discussed it with me.				
5	Right now, I can't recall specifically any events. If you				
6	have an event in mind, I would be happy to recall it.				
7	BY MS. SHIFMAN:				
8	Q We will get into some of the specifics in a bit.				
9	A Okay.				
10	It's fair to say he's been emotionally unstable?				
11	A Yes.				
12	Q He's acted impulsively?				
13	Yes.				
14	Q He's had a tendency to exaggerate?				
15	A Yes.				
16	Q To sometimes even lie?				
17	A Yes.				
18	Q You have described him to others as a pathological liar.				
19	A I think we reached a point in our relationship where it				
20	was hard to believe anything that he was saying to me.				
21	Q You even told the government that he was a liar, a thief,				
22	and a drug addict.				
23	A Um, you know, I think those are some I don't know how				
24	people interpret the things that I say or how they are				
25	written. I think those are pretty broad characterizations.				

But my brother certainly has had a lot of issues, yes.

Q And you wanted the government to know that in your mind, at the time you passed the information on to them, that he was a liar, a thief, and a drug addict?

MS. DOWLING: Objection, asked and answered.

THE COURT: Overruled. You can answer.

THE WITNESS: Um, if that's how it came across, I wanted them to know that much of what the government had originally thought about this case, I didn't know.

So, when I called him a liar, I was probably referring to the times where he just flat-out denied that he was trading, and he turned out to be trading. A thief, because I felt that much of the information that was used when I learned of the trading activities was taken from me, without my knowledge and without my consent.

And to call him a drug addict, I don't know if -- I know he had an addiction. But to label him as a drug addict, he wasn't -- I think there was a time in his life where he may have been a drug addict, ma'am.

BY MS. SHIFMAN:

- Q You're not unsure about that now.
- **A** Um, no.

- A Um, again, it's, for me, from information that I -- I had heard from other people, yes.

1 Q And, because he went into drug rehab programs? 2 Α I --MS. DOWLING: Objection. Object to this line of 3 4 testimony as narrative, no time frames or context. THE COURT: I think it's a fair question. 5 In terms of vagueness, why don't you set forth some time 6 7 frame here? BY MS. SHIFMAN: 8 9 You came to learn that he -- it's going to be a two-part 10 question. 11 You came to learn that he went into drug rehabilitation 12 programs. 13 Yes, I did come to learn it. Α 14 And he went into those drug rehab programs as late as Q 15 1999. Um, that sounds about right. Yes. Again, ma'am, this is 16 17 information that I had heard from family members. The 1999 incident was the incident that I described to the 18 Court earlier today, in my apartment at 1000 Chestnut. And, 19 20 my brother told me he was going to get help. I -- I never 21 followed through with him to understand of that, but I thought 22 that he did seek help, and that's when the diagnosis that you 23 described came out. 24 And when he was in your apartment on Chestnut Street in

1999, he seemed high on drugs to you.

I couldn't -- he was definitely intoxicated on alcohol. 1 Α 2 And he was grinding his teeth. And, he was not right. So, I don't know. But I don't know what was in his body. 3 I do know that alcohol was in his body. I could smell it. 4 He's someone who has had a history of lies? 5 MS. DOWLING: Objection, object for vagueness, no 6 7 time frame here. 8 **THE COURT:** Why don't you set a time frame, or be 9 more specific. 10 MS. SHIFMAN: Well, Your Honor, I need to -- he's 11 described him as a pathological liar. This is a followup 12 question to that. 13 MS. DOWLING: Objection. Misstates testimony. THE COURT: You can allow some -- allow for some time 14 15 frame. BY MS. SHIFMAN: 16 17 Is it fair to say that as recently as the underlying facts 18 in this case, that he was lying without any control, to you? 19 MS. DOWLING: Objection. Vagueness. 20 THE COURT: Overruled. 21 THE WITNESS: I don't know -- I don't think he was 22 lying without control, ma'am. He was, um --BY MS. SHIFMAN: 23 24 Deliberately lying? 0 25 He was intentionally lying, yeah. Α

F				
1	Q Intentionally lying. Let's talk about one of those			
2	intentional lies. You directly asked him in February or March			
3	of 2005, "Are you trading in any of the companies that I			
4	talked to you about?"			
5	Do you remember that?			
6	I do.			
7	Q He told you "No." Do you recall that?			
8	A Yes.			
9	Q That was a lie.			
10	A Yes.			
11	Q You suspected excuse me, withdrawn.			
12	He swore on the graves of several of your family members			
13	that he wasn't trading. He told you that.			
14	A He swore on his daughter's life. I do remember that. I			
15	don't know about the graves of family members, but			
16	Q He swore on the life of his daughter Janine, that he			
17	wasn't trading?			
18	A Yes.			
19	Q That was a lie.			
20	A Yes.			
21	MS. SHIFMAN: Your Honor, so, I'm about to get into			
22	another area. I note that it is 4:29.			
23	THE COURT: It is time, then, to go ahead and take			
24	our recess for the day. And we will continue tomorrow morning			
25	at 8:30, ladies and gentlemen. So, we will adjourn for day.			

And let me remind you, please do not discuss this case 1 2 with anyone else, do not attempt to do any research, or form 3 any opinions. 4 We will see you back here tomorrow morning with the 5 continuation of cross-examination of Mr. Kara, at 8:30. 6 Thank you. 7 THE CLERK: All rise for the jury. 8 (Jury excused) 9 (The following proceedings were held outside of the 10 presence of the Jury) 11 THE COURT: See you tomorrow morning. 12 MS. SHIFMAN: Okay, thanks. 13 MS. DOWLING: May he be excused? 14 THE COURT: Yes, you may step down. You don't have to stay here all night. 15 16 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 17 MS. SHIFMAN: If it's all right with the Court, I'm 18 just going to leave that exhibit binder up at the witness stand. 19 20 THE COURT: Sure. Yeah, yeah. 21 (Proceedings adjourned) 22 23 24 25

1	INDEX		
2			
3	DIATAMETERS LATINGGES	DAGE	NO.
4	PLAINTIFFS' WITNESSES	PAGE	VOL.
5	KARA, MAHER FAYEZ (SWORN)	2	1
6	Direct Examination by Ms. Dowling Cross Examination by Ms. Shifman	2 119	1 1
7	EXHIBITS		
8	TRIAL EXHIBITS	ADMITTED	VOL.
9			
10	1 21 22	9 21	1 1 1
11	22 24	24 28	1
12	25 238	31 46	1 1 1
13	241 220	52 56	1 1
14	223 142	57 60	1
15	208 259	64 66	1
16	89 69	69 73	1 1
17	4 5	78 80	1 1
18	290 296	84 89	1 1
19	344 347	99 101	1 1
20	363 372	104 108	1 1
21	375	113	1
22			
23			
24			
25			

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTERS

I, BELLE BALL, Official Reporter for the United States Court, Northern District of California, hereby certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

/s/ Belle Ball Bull Ball

Wednesday, September 18, 2013 Belle Ball, CSR 8785, CRR, RDR

I, CONNIE KUHL, Official Reporter for the United States Court, Northern District of California, hereby certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

/s/ Connie Kuhl__

Wednesday, September 18, 2013
Connie Kuhl, CSR #13173, RMR, CRR